

2009 International Workshop on Quality of Multimedia Experience (QoMEx 2009)

**San Diego, California, USA
29 – 31 July 2009**



**IEEE Catalog Number: CFP09QOM-PRT
ISBN: 978-1-4244-4369-7**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Session 1: MULTIMODAL QUALITY ASSESSMENT

1.1: IMPAIRMENT-FACTOR-BASED AUDIO-VISUAL QUALITY MODEL FOR IPTV1

Marie-Neige Garcia, Alexander Raake, Deutsche Telekom Laboratories, Germany

1.2: A TAXONOMY OF QUALITY OF SERVICE AND QUALITY OF EXPERIENCE OF MULTIMODAL HUMAN-MACHINE INTERACTION7

Sebastian Möller, Klaus-Peter Engelbrecht, Christine Kühnel, Ina Wechsung, Benjamin Weiss, TU Berlin, Germany

1.3: INFLUENCE OF AUDIO-VISUAL ATTENTION ON PERCEIVED QUALITY OF STANDARD DEFINITION MULTIMEDIA CONTENT13

Jong-Seok Lee, Francesca De Simone, Touradj Ebrahimi, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Switzerland

1.4: EVALUATING A MULTIMODAL REMOTE CONTROL: THE INTERPLAY BETWEEN USER EXPERIENCE AND USABILITY19

Ina Wechsung, Anja Naumann, Deutsche Telekom Laboratories, TU Berlin, Germany

Session 2: SUBJECTIVE QUALITY ASSESSMENT AND VERIFICATION I

2.1: ANALYSIS OF SSIM PERFORMANCE FOR DIGITAL CINEMA APPLICATIONS23

Fitri N. Rahayu, Ulrich Reiter, Q2S-NTNU, Norway; Marlon T.M. Nielsen, NTNU, Norway; Touradj Ebrahimi, Peter Svensson, Andrew Perkis, Q2S-NTNU, Norway

2.2: ASSESSING THE PERCEPTUAL INFLUENCE OF H.264/SVC SIGNAL-TO-NOISE RATIO AND TEMPORAL SCALABILITY ON FULL LENGTH MOVIES29

Nicolas Staelens, Stefaan Moens, Ghent University - IBBT, Belgium; Wendy Van den Broeck, Ilse Mariën, Vrije Universiteit Brussels - IBBT, Belgium; Brecht Vermeulen, Peter Lambert, Rik Van de Walle, Piet Demeester, Ghent University - IBBT, Belgium

2.3: MODELING SUBJECTIVE TESTS OF QUALITY OF EXPERIENCE WITH A GENERALIZED LINEAR MODEL35

Lucjan Janowski, Zdzilaw Papir, AGH Univerisity of Science and Technology, Poland

2.4: SUBJECTIVE VIDEO QUALITY AS A FUNCTION OF BIT RATE, FRAME RATE, PACKET LOSS, AND CODEC41

Gregory Cermak, Verizon Laboratories, United States

2.5: MEASURING THE PERCEIVED AESTHETIC QUALITY OF PHOTOGRAPHIC IMAGES47

Cathleen Daniels Cerosaletti, Alexander Loui, Eastman Kodak Company, United States

Session 3: OBJECTIVE IMAGE QUALITY ASSESSMENT

3.1: ON THE MDCT-PSNR IMAGE QUALITY INDEX	53
<i>Thomas Richter, University of Stuttgart, Germany</i>	
3.2: A GROUPLLET-BASED REDUCED REFERENCE IMAGE QUALITY ASSESSMENT	59
<i>Aldo Maalouf, Mohamed-Chaker Larabi, Christine Fernandez-Maloigne, University of Poitiers, France</i>	
3.3: A NO-REFERENCE SHARPNESS METRIC SENSITIVE TO BLUR AND NOISE	64
<i>Xiang Zhu, Peyman Milanfar, University of California at Santa Cruz, United States</i>	
3.4: NO-REFERENCE IMAGE BLUR ASSESSMENT USING MULTISCALE GRADIENT	70
<i>Ming-Jun Chen, Alan C. Bovik, The University of Texas at Austin, United States</i>	
3.5: NO REFERENCE BLOCK BASED BLUR DETECTION.....	75
<i>Debing Liu, Zhibo Chen, Thomson, China; Huadong Ma, Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, China; Feng Xu, Xiaodong Gu, Thomson, China</i>	
3.6: LEARNING THE PROPERTIES OF RECEPTIVE FIELDS IN THE CONTEXT OF PERCEPTUAL IMAGE QUALITY ASSESSMENT	81
<i>Koohyar Minoo, Motorola Inc., United States; Truong Nguyen, UCSD, United States</i>	
3.7: A NO-REFERENCE PERCEPTUAL IMAGE SHARPNESS METRIC BASED ON A CUMULATIVE PROBABILITY OF BLUR DETECTION	87
<i>Niranjan Narvekar, Lina Karam, Arizona State University, United States</i>	

Session 4: QOE FOR MULTIMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS I

4.1: IMPACT OF ROUTER QUEUING DISCIPLINES ON MULTIMEDIA QOE IN IPTV DEPLOYMENTS	92
<i>Prasad Calyam, Prashanth Chandrasekaran, Gregg Trueb, Nathan Howes, The Ohio State University, United States; Delei Yu, Ying Liu, Lixia Xiong, Huawei Technologies, China; Rajiv Ramnath, The Ohio State University, United States; Daoyan Yang, Huawei Technologies, China</i>	
4.2: E-MODEL SUPPORTED SWITCHING BETWEEN NARROWBAND AND WIDEBAND SPEECH QUALITY	98
<i>Blazej Lewcio, Marcel Wältermann, Sebastian Möller, Pablo Vidales, Deutsche Telekom Laboratories, Germany</i>	
4.3: MEASURING MEDIATED COMMUNICATION EXPERIENCE	104
<i>Viljakaisa Aaltonen, Nokia, Finland; Jari Takatalo, University of Helsinki, Finland; Jukka Häkkinen, Nokia, Finland; Miikka Lehtonen, Helsinki University of Technology, Finland; Göte Nyman, University of Helsinki, Finland; Martin Schrader, Nokia, Finland</i>	
4.4: TOWARDS A COMPUTATIONAL QUALITY MODEL FOR IP-BASED AUDIO	110
<i>Eugene S. Myakotnykh, U. Peter Svensson, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway</i>	

4.5: PSNR,R,F -MOSR: AN EASY-TO-COMPUTE MULTIUSER PERCEPTUAL VIDEO QUALITY MEASURE	116
<i>Jing Hu, Cisco Systems, United States; Sayantan Choudhury, Jerry Gibson, University of California, Santa Barbara, United States</i>	

Session 5: SUBJECTIVE QUALITY ASSESSMENT AND VERIFICATION II

5.1: IMPROVING THE VERIFICATION PROCESS OF VIDEO QUALITY METRICS	121
<i>Christian Keimel, Tobias Oelbaum, Klaus Diepold, Technische Universität München, Germany</i>	
5.2: COMPARING APPLES AND ORANGES: SUBJECTIVE QUALITY ASSESSMENT OF STREAMED VIDEO WITH DIFFERENT TYPES OF DISTORTION	127
<i>Ulrich Reiter, Jari Korhonen, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway</i>	
5.3: GRADIENT ASCENT PAIRED-COMPARISON SUBJECTIVE QUALITY TESTING	133
<i>Stephen Voran, Andrew Catellier, Institute for Telecommunication Sciences, United States</i>	
5.4: ON THE PROPERTIES OF SUBJECTIVE RATINGS IN VIDEO QUALITY EXPERIMENTS	139
<i>Stefan Winkler, Symmetricom, United States</i>	

Session 6: QOE STANDARDS AND FUTURE TRENDS

6.1: A TEST-BED FOR QUALITY OF MULTIMEDIA EXPERIENCE EVALUATION OF SENSORY EFFECTS	145
<i>Markus Walzl, Christian Timmerer, Hermann Hellwagner, Klagenfurt University, Austria</i>	
6.2: DOES QUALITY IMPACT THE BUSINESS MODEL? CASE: DIGITAL CINEMA	151
<i>Andrew Perkis, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway</i>	
6.3: ENHANCED PESQ ALGORITHM FOR OBJECTIVE ASSESSMENT OF SPEECH QUALITY AT A CONTINUOUS VARYING DELAY	157
<i>Nitay Shiran, Ben-Gurion University, Israel; Ilan Shallom, AudioCodes Ltd., Israel</i>	

Session 7: VIDEO, 3D AND MULTIVIEW QUALITY ASSESSMENT

7.1: NATURAL MOTION STATISTICS FOR NO-REFERENCE VIDEO QUALITY ASSESSMENT	163
<i>Michele Saad, Alan Bovik, The University of Texas at Austin, United States</i>	
7.2: HDTV OBJECTIVE VIDEO QUALITY ASSESSMENT METHOD APPLYING FUZZY MEASURE	168
<i>Jun Okamoto, Keishiro Watanabe, NTT Corporation, Japan; Aoi Honda, Masato Uchida, Kyushu Institute of Technology, Japan; Seiichiro Hangai, Science University of Tokyo, Japan</i>	
7.3: MOTION ESTIMATED TEMPORAL CONSISTENCY METRICS FOR OBJECTIVE VIDEO QUALITY ASSESSMENT	174
<i>Peshala Pahalawatta, Alexandros Tourapis, Dolby Laboratories Inc, United States</i>	

7.4: STEREOSCOPIC IMAGE QUALITY PREDICTION.....180
Z. M. Parvez Sazzad, Shouta Yamanaka, Yoshikazu Kawayoke, Yuukou Horita, University of Toyama, Japan

7.5: MIQM: A NOVEL MULTI-VIEW IMAGES QUALITY MEASURE.....186
Mashhour Solh, Ghassan AlRegib, Georgia Institute of Technology, United States

7.6: INFLUENCE OF DEPTH RENDERING ON THE QUALITY OF192
EXPERIENCE FOR AN AUTOSTEREOSCOPIC DISPLAY
Marcus Barkowsky, Romain Cousseau, Patrick Le Callet, University of Nantes, France

Session 8: QOE FOR MULTIMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS II

8.1: OPTIMAL RCPC CHANNEL RATE ALLOCATION IN AWGN CHANNEL198
FOR PERCEPTUAL VIDEO QUALITY USING INTEGER PROGRAMMING
Ting-Lan Lin, Pamela Cosman, University of California, San Diego, United States

8.2: SUBJECTIVE ASSESSMENT OF H.264/AVC VIDEO SEQUENCES204
TRANSMITTED OVER A NOISY CHANNEL
Francesca De Simone, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Switzerland; Matteo Naccari, Marco Tagliasacchi, Politecnico di Milano, Italy; Frederic Dufaux, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Switzerland; Stefano Tubaro, Politecnico di Milano, Italy; Touradj Ebrahimi, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Switzerland

8.3: PERCEPTUAL QUALITY MEASUREMENT OF VIDEO FRAMES210
AFFECTED BY BOTH PACKET LOSSES AND CODING ARTIFACTS
Tao Liu, Polytechnic Institute of New York University, United States; Hua Yang, Alan Stein, Thomson Corporate Research, United States; Yao Wang, Polytechnic Institute of New York University, United States

8.4: USE OF CONTENT COMPLEXITY FACTORS IN VIDEO OVER IP216
QUALITY MONITORING
Jing Hu, Herb Wildfeuer, Cisco Systems, United States

Session 9: APPLICATIONS AND BEYOND

9.1: EVALUATION OF FLOATING POINT IMAGE COMPRESSION.....222
Thomas Richter, University of Stuttgart, Germany

9.2: VISIBILITY THRESHOLDS FOR QUANTIZATION DISTORTION IN228
JPEG2000
Han Oh, Ali Bilgin, Michael Marcellin, The University of Arizona, United States

9.3: TEMPORAL OPTIMIZATION OF QUALITY DURING VIDEO233
COMPRESSION
Kostas Gaitanis, Samer Youssef Al Chikhani, Christophe de Vleeschouwer, UCL, Belgium

9.4: A METHOD FOR SYNCHRONIZATION MISMATCH PERCEPTION238
EVALUATION FOR LARGE ULTRA HIGH RESOLUTION TILED DISPLAYS
Sachin Deshpande, Sharp Laboratories of America, United States

9.5: A TEMPORAL MOSQUITO NOISE CORRECTOR244
Claire Mantel, Grenoble Polytechnic Institute / STMicroelectronics, France; Patricia Ladret, Joseph Fourier University, France; Thomas Kunlin, STMicroelectronics, France

AUTHOR INDEX