

33rd Symposium on the Application of Geophysics to Engineering and Environmental Problems (SAGEEP 2021)

Online
14-19 March 2021

ISBN: 978-1-7138-2817-4

Printed from e-media with permission by:

Curran Associates, Inc.
57 Morehouse Lane
Red Hook, NY 12571



Some format issues inherent in the e-media version may also appear in this print version.

Copyright© (2021) by Environmental and Engineering Geophysical Society
All rights reserved.

Printed with permission by Curran Associates, Inc. (2021)

For permission requests, please contact Environmental and Engineering Geophysical Society
at the address below.

Environmental and Engineering Geophysical Society
1391 Speer Blvd.
Suite 450
Denver, Colorado 80204
USA

Phone: (303) 531-7517
Fax: (303) 820-3844

staff@eegs.org

Additional copies of this publication are available from:

Curran Associates, Inc.
57 Morehouse Lane
Red Hook, NY 12571 USA
Phone: 845-758-0400
Fax: 845-758-2633
Email: curran@proceedings.com
Web: www.proceedings.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

AGRICULTURAL GEOPHYSICS

ASSESSING THE VARIABILITY OF SOIL WATER CONTENT WITH GROUND PENETRATING RADAR AND ELECTROMAGNETIC INDUCTION	1
<i>S. Pathirana, L. Galagedara, C. Smeaton, A. Unc</i>	
EVALUATING THE SOIL WATER CONTENT DISTRIBUTION IN CLAYPAN SOILS FOR AGRICULTURAL APPLICATIONS USING GROUND PENETRATING RADAR	2
<i>K. Leverett, K. Grote</i>	
A QUANTITATIVE LINK BETWEEN UNDISTURBED SOIL PORE - ARCHITECTURE AND TIME-LAPSE ELECTRICAL RESISTIVITY - MEASUREMENTS OF FLUID FLOW	3
<i>M. Cimpoiasu, O. Kuras, T. Pridmore, S. Mooney</i>	
UNDERSTANDING LOCAL GEOLOGY AND SUBSURFACE MOVEMENT OF IRRIGATION WATERS IN RELATION TO ANTHROPOGENICALLY INDUCED LANDSLIDES AT THE MAJES AGRICULTURAL SITE IN PERU	7
<i>H. Flamme, R. Krahenbuhl, Y. Li, E. Gonzales Zenteno, J. Roberto Ticona Paucara, A. Minaya Lizárraga</i>	
MAPPING INTERNAL EROSION SOIL PIPES USING GEOPHYSICAL METHODS	8
<i>L. Wodajo, S. Islam, P. Rad, C. Hickey, G. Wilson</i>	

ANALYSIS OF SURFACE WAVES METHODS

DEEP MASW SOUNDINGS USING HEAVY CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT AS ENERGY SOURCES	9
<i>A. Martin</i>	
SEISMIC SITE CHARACTERIZATION USING SEISMIC NOISE-BASED METHODS	10
<i>M. Cardenas Soto, R. Sánchez Cruz, J. Piña-Flores, D. Escobedo-Zenil, J. Sánchez-González</i>	
SITE CHARACTERISTICS AND SHEAR WAVE VELOCITY STRUCTURE OF BANGKOK BASIN, CENTRAL THAILAND	14
<i>P. Pananont, K. Hayashi</i>	
APPLICATION OF 3D AMBIENT NOISE TOMOGRAPHY TO INVESTIGATE BASIN STRUCTURE IN THE EASTERN SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA, CALIFORNIA.....	15
<i>K. Hayashi, M. Craig, M. Goldman, J. Chan</i>	
DEEP ACTIVE SURFACE WAVE TESTING USING WEIGHT DROPS AT A DEEP DYNAMIC COMPACTION SITE	16
<i>S. Mahvelati, D. Rudenko</i>	
CLOSE-SPACED HVSR STATIONS AND LATERAL RESOLUTION OF SUBSURFACE STRUCTURES IN THE TUCSON BASIN, ARIZONA	20
<i>W. Sauck, J. Fink</i>	

APPLICATIONS FOR UAVS & OPTICAL SENSORS IN MUNITIONS PROJECTS

ULEMA: ULTRA-LIGHT ELECTROMAGNETIC ARRAY SYSTEM FOR UXO DETECTION AND CLASSIFICATION.....	21
<i>F. Shubitidze, G. Sapparishvili, B. Barrowes, T. Shubitidze, M. Prishvin</i>	
TOPO-BATHY LIDAR SENSOR FOR CHARACTERIZING PROUD UNDERWATER OBJECTS IN SHALLOW WATERS FROM A UAS PLATFORM.....	22
<i>J. Thayer, A. Gisler, C. Anderson, G. Thompson</i>	
LIDAR SURFACE CHARACTERIZATION FOR GEOPHYSICAL REMEDIAL DESIGN AT FORMER WAIKOLOA MANEUVER AREA, ISLAND OF HAWAII.....	23
<i>B. Konshak, A. LeWinter, D. Filiano, T. Stanton, D. Finnegan, J. Jackson</i>	
UAS MAGARROW SURVEYS OVER SURROGATE UXO.....	24
<i>R. Bell</i>	
AIRBORNE UXO DETECTION AND CLASSIFICATION FROM A UAS.....	25
<i>B. Barrowes, M. Prishvin, M. Coleman, F. Shubitidze</i>	
ADVANTAGES OF USING SMALL UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEMS ON MMRP PROJECTS.....	26
<i>A. Benson, R. Windell</i>	

ARCHAEOLOGICAL GEOPHYSICS

COMPARATIVE STUDY OF THE GEOPHYSICAL RESPONSE OF REVOLUTIONARY WAR ERA MASS BURIALS.....	28
<i>C. Potter, H. Willever, A. Kline, K. Shollenberger, R. Thorne, L. Sherrod</i>	
GEOPHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF THE OLD CITY CEMETERY IN MURFREESBORO, TN.....	29
<i>M.I. Alam, W. Doll, L. Bartel, W. Piwonka</i>	
GEOPHYSICAL STUDY NEAR THE TEMPLE OF OLYMPIAN ZEUS IN ATHENS FOR THE DETECTION OF ANCIENT STRUCTURES.....	30
<i>G. Apostolopoulos, K. Leontarakis, C. Orfanos, G. Amolochitis, D. Karaiskos, S. Karizonis</i>	

BEST OF EAGE NEAR SURFACE GEOSCIENCE 2019/2020

DATA RECONSTRUCTION USING SEISMIC INTERFEROMETRY APPLIED TO ACTIVE-SOURCE DATA FROM THE LUDVIKA MINES OF SWEDEN.....	31
<i>F. Balestrini, M. Sacchi, A. Malehmir, P. Marsden, R. Ghose, D. Draganov</i>	
GEOSTATISTICAL ELECTROMAGNETIC INVERSION FOR LANDFILL CHARACTERIZATION.....	35
<i>J. Narciso, L. Azevedo, E. Van De Vijver, M. Van Meirvenne</i>	
TIME-LAPSE TARGET-ORIENTED CROSSWELL FULL WAVEFORM INVERSION WITHOUT DOWNHOLE SOURCES.....	39
<i>S. Minato, R. Ghose</i>	

GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY AT A COASTAL AREA OF ARTEMIDA CITY, EAST ATTICA, GREECE, WITH ARCHAEOLOGICAL INTEREST.....	44
<i>G. Apostolopoulos, G. Amolochitis, A. Sakalidi, E. Garbi, D. Karaiskos, S. Karizonis</i>	
AN ALGORITHM FOR 3D MODELLING OF DIRECT CURRENT RESISTIVITY AND FULL-RESPONSE TIME-DOMAIN INDUCED POLARIZATION DATA.....	49
<i>L.M. Madsen, G. Fiandaca, E. Auken, T. Bording</i>	
SURFACE-WAVE TOMOGRAPHY AT MINING SITES - A CASE STUDY FROM CENTRAL SWEDEN	54
<i>M. Papadopoulou, F. Da Col, L.V. Coddo, S. Hu, E. Bäckström, M. Schön, P. Marsden, A. Malehmir</i>	

BOREHOLE GEOPHYSICS

A CASE STUDY ON THE INFLUENCE OF DRILLING TECHNIQUE ON A BOREHOLE GEOPHYSICAL DATASET	59
<i>H. Crow</i>	
POTENTIAL VALUE OF SPECTRAL GAMMA RAY LOGGING AND DATA ANALYSIS TO ENVIRONMENTAL APPLICATIONS OF HYDROFACIES ANALYSIS AND MASS FLUX ESTIMATION.....	62
<i>G. Byer</i>	
NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE LOGS OF FRACTURED BEDROCK AT THE HIDDEN LANE LANDFILL SITE, CULPEPER BASIN, VIRGINIA	63
<i>C. Johnson, S.N. Phillips, F. Day-Lewis, C. Tiedeman, B. Rundell, E. Gilbert</i>	
UNDERSTANDING THE RESPONSE OF INDUCED POLARIZATION IN FRACTURED ROCK.....	69
<i>S. Phillips, J. LoCoco, B. Carr, C. Baker</i>	
THE DETERMINATION OF THE DAMPING RATIO AS A KEY GEOTECHNICAL PARAMETER BY MULTI-CHANNEL SPECTRAL ANALYSIS OF SEISMIC DOWNHOLE DATA.....	70
<i>U. Ködel, L. Karl</i>	

CORRELATING GEOPHYSICAL AND GEOTECHNICAL PARAMETERS I

APPLICATION OF ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORKS (ANNs) TO PREDICT PRESSURE METER MODULUS USING GEOTECHNICAL AND GEOPHYSICAL PARAMETERS.....	71
<i>S. Sharif, H. Yasarer, C.J. Hickey, Y.M. Najjar</i>	
PREDICTING GEOTECHNICAL PARAMETERS FROM ELECTRIC RESISTIVITY USING ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORK.....	72
<i>F.T. Johora, C.J. Hickey, H. Yasarer</i>	
MULTI-METHOD GEOPHYSICAL INVESTIGATION OF MOISTURE GRADIENTS IN THE CRITICAL ZONE NEAR THE CONNECTICUT RIVER HARTLAND, VT	73
<i>D. Glaser, K. Burch, G. Boitnott, A. Garcia, B. Jones, M. Maxson, D. Brinkley, M. Powers, P. Reppert</i>	
GEOPHYSICAL GROUND TRUTHING WITH GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATIONS	74
<i>C. Mayer, M. Painter</i>	

RELATION BETWEEN MULTI-CONFIGURATIONS ELECTROMAGNETIC INDUCTION AND GEOTECHNICAL MEASUREMENTS (CBR/DCP) ON A 1:1 STANDARDIZED TEST SITE	75
<i>F. Rejiba, C. Fauchard, C. Schamper, D. Jacqueline, L. Bodet, Z. Willburn, C. Finco, P. Fleitz, P. Delage</i>	

CORRELATING GEOPHYSICAL AND GEOTECHNICAL PARAMETERS II

GEOTECHNICAL AND GEOPHYSICAL AUSCULTATIONS OF HIGH SPEED RAILWAY EMBANKMENTS	77
<i>A. Dhemaied, D. Marine, R. Heraibi, L. Bodet</i>	

APPLICATION OF ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORK TO FORECAST GEOTECHNICAL PARAMETERS AND SEISMIC WAVE VELOCITY	78
<i>F.T. Johora, C.J. Hickey, H. Yasarer</i>	

MAGNETIC AND ELECTROMAGNETIC SURVEY TO IDENTIFY HISTORIC STRUCTURES AT THE RIVER MILE REDEVELOPMENT	79
<i>M. Truskowski, P. Sirles, T. Ensele</i>	

USING SHEAR WAVE VELOCITY FOR GENERAL AIRFIELD PAVEMENT DESIGN.....	80
<i>L. Bryson</i>	

AIRBORNE SOIL CONDUCTIVITY ASSESSMENT USING FREQUENCY DOMAIN ELECTROMAGNETIC INDUCTION	81
<i>B. Barrowes, D. Glaser, M. Prishvin, M. Coleman, F. Shubitidze</i>	

DAGCAP PROJECT - LESSONS LEARNED

SELLING AGC TO UXO TECHNICIANS (AND WHY YOU WANT TO)	82
<i>S. Mcnamara, G. Marcoux</i>	

THE DAGCAP ASSESSMENT PROCESS: PAST, PRESENT, AND FUTURE	83
<i>J. Stine</i>	

CHALLENGES AND SUCCESSES IN THE UTILIZATION OF AGC FOR THE REMEDIAL ACTION AT THE FORMER MOTLOW RANGE COMPLEX	84
<i>A. Walker, C. Murray, D. Hall</i>	

CLASSIFICATION OF SMALL, VERTICAL ISOs BENEATH THE CENTER OF THE METALMAPPER 2X2	85
<i>C. Murray, F. Shubitidze</i>	

A STANDARD FOR EVERYONE: USING THE 17025:2017 STANDARD TO ASSESS TO THE DoD ADVANCED GEOPHYSICAL CLASSIFICATION ACCREDITATION PROGRAM	87
<i>N. Slawson</i>	

DAMS AND LEVEES – SAFETY INVESTIGATION & MONITORING

GEOPHYSICAL INVESTIGATION AT CARL BLACKWELL DAM, STILLWATER, OKLAHOMA.....	88
<i>Z. Sazal, A. Ismail</i>	

GEOPHYSICS AS A WHOLE OF LIFETIME TECHNIQUE FOR MONITORING AND INVESTIGATION OF TAILING STORAGE FACILITIES.....	89
<i>S. Williams</i>	

UNUSUAL PASSIVE ELECTROMAGNETIC DATA RESULTS WHILE PERFORMING A GROUND PENETRATING RADAR VOID DETECTION SURVEY ON THE LAKE O' THE PINES, TX EMERGENCY SPILLWAY.....	90
<i>R. North, S. Lucker</i>	

THREE LINES OF EVIDENCE: USING GEOPHYSICAL APPROACHES, GEOCHEMICAL APPROACHES, AND VISUAL OBSERVATION TO ASSESS POTENTIAL LEAKAGE OF MINE WATER FROM TAILING STORAGE FACILITY OF A GOLD MINE IN THAILAND.....	91
<i>T. Phenrat, Y. Mitsuhashi, T. Ueda, Y. Kawabe, Y. Karino, H. Sato, W. Maneechuket, T. Pladboon, S. Laoharojanaphand, K. Khamdee</i>	

GEOMORPHIC AND SHALLOW SUBSURFACE EXPRESSION OF GROWTH FAULTS IN MISSISSIPPI RIVER DELTA QUATERNARY SEDIMENT; GOLDEN MEADOW, LOUISIANA.....	93
<i>A. Scates</i>	

GEOPHYSICAL INVESTIGATIONS IN SUPPORT OF GROUT AND FILL ASSESSMENTS AT THE LOW SILL CONTROL STRUCTURE, OLD RIVER CONTROL COMPLEX, LOUISIANA.....	96
<i>W. Doll, B. Breland, W. Doll, J. Greenwood, R. Kaufmann</i>	

ELECTRICAL METHODS I

GEOPHYSICAL MONITORING OF MICROBIAL MOVEMENT.....	97
<i>S. Saneiyani, D. Ntarlagiannis, N. Filippone, F. Colwell</i>	

LONG TERM OVER WATER RESISTIVITY MONITORING STUDY.....	98
<i>J. Dawson, R. Penner, R. Perrin, J. Hanlon, M. Wahl</i>	

GRADIENT SELF-POTENTIAL LOGGING IN THE RIO GRANDE TO IDENTIFY GAINING AND LOSING SEGMENTS.....	102
<i>S. Ikard, A. Teeple</i>	

TIME-LAPSE STREAMING-POTENTIAL MEASUREMENT IN A SAND-BOX AND CALCULATION OF STREAMING POTENTIAL COUPLING COEFFICIENT.....	103
<i>M.L. Mamud, C. Hickey, R. Holt</i>	

ELECTRICAL RESISTIVITY TOMOGRAPHY AS A TOOL FOR COMMUNITY CITIZEN SCIENCE: A CASE STUDY OF COMMUNITY-DRIVEN INVESTIGATION OUTSIDE OF THE FENCE LINE.....	104
<i>T. Phenrat, W. Maneechuket, T. Pladboon, K. Piaowan</i>	

ELECTRICAL METHODS II

MAPPING ORE DENSIFICATION IN METAL HEAP LEACH PADS USING ELECTRICAL RESISTIVITY.....	105
<i>D. Rucker, C. Baldyga, M. Poje, K. Rucker</i>	

ELECTRICAL IMAGING AND GEOCHEMICAL STUDIES TO UNDERSTAND THE MIGRATION OF POLLUTANT ORIGINATING FROM CHROMITE ORE PROCESSING RESIDUE (COPR) SITE IN KANPUR, INDIA	106
<i>U. Mishra, A. Chandroth, A. Mandal</i>	

THE FACILITY OF A FULLY-DISTRIBUTED DIRECT CURRENT RESISTIVITY AND INDUCED POLARIZATION SYSTEM WITH CVR.....	107
<i>J. Rudd, J. Hanlon, G. Chubak</i>	

SPONTANEOUS POTENTIAL AS A METHOD FOR IDENTIFYING KARST FLOW CONDUITS AT AGGREGATE QUARRIES	111
<i>J. Stuby, J. Lolcama</i>	

ELECTROMAGNETIC METHODS I

SURFACE TOWED CONTROLLED SOURCE ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEM FOR SHALLOW WATER MAPPING	112
<i>D. Sherman, E. Attias, S. Constable</i>	

RESULTS OF TRIALS USING LOUPE – A CONTINUOUS OPERATION TEM SYSTEM FOR NEAR-SURFACE INVESTIGATIONS	113
<i>G. Street, A. Duncan</i>	

INVERSION OF IP-AFFECTED TOWED- TRANSIENT ELECTROMAGNETIC (TTEM) DATA.....	117
<i>P. Maurya, D. Grombacher, J. Lind, J. Lane, E. Auken</i>	

GEOLOGICAL INTERPRETATION OF NEAR-SURFACE INDUCED POLARIZATION AND SUPER-PARAMAGNETIC EFFECTS IN AIRBORNE ELECTROMAGNETIC DATA.....	118
<i>J. Macnae</i>	

ELECTROMAGNETIC METHODS II

FINDING WATER USING A PULSED RADAR SYSTEM.....	119
<i>G. Stove</i>	

APPLICATION OF HIGH RESOLUTION SPAC TO IDENTIFY DEEP DIPPING LAYER IN NORTHERN BOGOTA.....	122
<i>J. Rodriguez, J.G. Azuaje</i>	

OPTIMIZING SIGNAL TO NOISE IN GEM2 EM SURVEYS FOR ANOMALY DETECTION IN GEOLOGICALLY NOISY CONTAMINATED SITES	126
<i>G. Pettifer, F. Funak, R. Lawson</i>	

2.5D INVERSION OF AIRBORNE EM DATA – A CASE STUDY FROM NEW REGIONAL AEM DATA FROM THE MAMMOTH MINES REGION, QUEENSLAND	127
<i>T. Leonard, G. Walker, D. Fitzgerald, R. Paterson, J. Silic</i>	

GEOPHYSICS 2021: THE PAST AND FUTURE OF NEAR SURFACE GEOPHYSICS

THE EVOLUTION OF GEOSCIENTIFIC (OR GEOPHYSICAL) SOFTWARE – THE PAST, PRESENT AND FUTURE.....	135
<i>L. Godwin, N. Valleau, D. Mortimer</i>	

EVOLUTION AND APPLICATION OF SLIMLINE BOREHOLE GEOPHYSICAL LOGS OVER THE LAST 50 YEARS	137
<i>J. Stowell, J. LoCoco</i>	
TRENDS IN GEOPHYSICAL INSTRUMENTATION.....	140
<i>M. Prouty</i>	
RECENT ADVANCES IN THE GRAVITY METHOD FOR SHALLOW EXPLORATION INVESTIGATIONS	141
<i>D. Kutrubes, C. Russ, M. Kilpatrick, W. Wang, S. Syme, J. Wolf, S. Badjou, K. Bounar</i>	
LESSONS LEARNED IN A CONSULTING CAREER USING APPLIED NEAR-SURFACE GEOPHYSICS	142
<i>J. Mundell</i>	
A 20/20 PERSPECTIVE: THE PAST, PRESENT AND FUTURE OF NEAR SURFACE GEOPHYSICS IN TRANSPORTATION.....	143
<i>P. Sirles</i>	

GEOPHYSICS IN HAZARD AND RISK EVALUATION

REPROCESSING, COMBINED INTERPRETATION & 3D PRESENTATION OF REFRACTION SEISMIC AND ERT DATA FROM THE UNSTABLE ROCK SLOPE IN ÅKNES, WESTERN NORWAY	147
<i>G. Tassis, J.S. Rønning</i>	
COMBINED ELECTROMAGNETIC AND FULL WAVEFORM SONIC GEOPHYSICS TO IMAGE DEEP MARINE CLAY FOR PRE-CONSTRUCTION PLANNING	151
<i>J. Dawson, P. Mah, J. Pellett, J. Dawson</i>	
LINKING FIELD ELECTRICAL RESISTIVITY MEASUREMENTS TO PORE SUCTION AND SHEAR STRENGTH, FOR IMPROVED UNDERSTANDING OF LONG TERM LANDSLIDE STABILITY	155
<i>J. Boyd, J. Chambers, P. Wilk, A. Watlet, J. Holmes, L. Jones, M. Kirkham, A. Binley</i>	
ASSESSING LANDSLIDE RISK USING NEAR SURFACE GEOPHYSICS FOR LONG TERM MONITORING OF AN UNSTABLE SLOPE AFFECTING TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE IN WESTERN CANADA	160
<i>J. Holmes, V. Sivakumar, P. Wilkinson, D. Huntley, J. Chambers, S. Donohue</i>	
FULL WAVEFORM TOMOGRAPHY COMBINING BODY AND SURFACE WAVES TO CHARACTERIZE LIQUEFACTION HAZARDS.....	161
<i>S. Mahvelati, A. Kordjazi, J. Coe</i>	
DENSE 3D ERT TO BETTER UNDERSTAND DEEP LANDSLIDE STRUCTURES	162
<i>C. Truffert, J. Gance, M. Lajaunie, J. Malet, O. Leite, F. Vermeersch</i>	

GEOTHERMAL ENERGY – STATUS & FUTURE OF APPLIED RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT

UNLOCKING THE POTENTIAL OF LOW-TEMPERATURE GEOTHERMAL RESOURCES FOR DIRECT-USE APPLICATIONS IN THE USA	166
<i>B. Ayling</i>	

CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES FOR GEOTHERMAL EXPLORATION AND HYDROTHERMAL RESEARCH: RECENT ADVANCES UTILIZING GEOTHERMAL PLAY FAIRWAY ANALYSIS IN THE WESTERN USA	167
<i>J. Faulds, J. Shervais, P. Wannamaker, C. Forson, N. Lautze</i>	

ADDRESSING ENHANCED GEOTHERMAL SYSTEM CHALLENGES	169
<i>T. Kneafsey, The EGS Collab Team</i>	

DECREASE RISKS IN GEOTHERMAL DEVELOPMENT WITH INTEGRATED DATA MANAGEMENT, EARTH MODELLING AND COLLABORATION	172
<i>B. Poux, G. Johnson</i>	

GROUND PENETRATING RADAR

SUBSURFACE CONTROLS ON THE RESPONSES OF BARRIER ISLANDS TO NATURAL INTERVENTIONS	173
<i>M. Ahmed, D. Colmenero</i>	

DUAL FREQUENCY SINGLE OFFSET GROUND PENETRATING RADAR FOR SUB- BOTTOM PROFILING OF A SMALL STREAM IN HAWAII.....	174
<i>T. Nielson, S. Leathers, R. North, G. Seki</i>	

GPR FOR BATHYMETRY OF THE DEVILS RIVER, TEXAS	177
<i>L. Costard, K. Saylam, A. Averett, B. Wolaver, S. Robertson</i>	

OPTIMIZING VELOCITY ESTIMATION PROCESS OF SPARSE ARRAY GPR CMP DATA FOR CONTINUOUS DETERMINATION OF INFILTRATION FRONT	178
<i>K. Oikawa, H. Saito, S. Kuroda, K. Takahashi</i>	

HUMANITARIAN GEOPHYSICS

SEARCHING FOR SAFE WATER SOURCES FOR NDUTA REFUGEE CAMP AND THE SURROUNDING HOST-COMMUNITIES IN WESTERN TANZANIA USING A TOWED TRANSIENT ELECTROMAGNETIC (TTEM) SYSTEM.....	179
<i>D. Grombacher, P. Maurya, J. Lind, J. Lane, E. Auken</i>	

GROUNDWATER EXPLORATION IN CONFLICT AFFECTED SOUTH SUDAN	180
<i>P. Bauman</i>	

DEVELOPING LOW-COST OPEN-SOURCE SEISMIC NODAL DESIGN FOR HUMANITARIAN GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATIONS.....	181
<i>G. Wilson, C. Young, B. Bernstein, J. Shragge</i>	

UNEXPECTED CHALLENGES IN DEVELOPING GROUNDWATER IN CABAERT, HAITI.....	182
<i>R. Wiley</i>	

HYDROGEOPHYSICS I

A GEOPHYSICAL INVESTIGATION OF A SULFATE DOMINATED HYPERSALINE LAKE SYSTEM IN BRITISH COLUMBIA, CANADA	183
<i>M. Barklage, B. Fluegel</i>	

GROUNDWATER EXPLORATION BY SEISMOELECTRIC SURVEY	185
<i>C. Dong, H. Andersen</i>	

TIME-LAPSE ELECTRICAL RESISTIVITY TOMOGRAPHY OBSERVATION OF SUBSURFACE FOREST ECOSYSTEM RESPONSES TO INTERACTING BARK BEETLE AND FIRE DISTURBANCE.....	186
<i>A. Parsekian, M. Bretfeld, B. Ewers, J. Frank</i>	

USING HIGH SENSITIVITY THERMAL GRADIENT LOGGING TO PREDICT HYDROSTRATIGRAPHIC UNITS AND FLOW THROUGH FRACTURED ROCK.....	187
<i>P. Pehme, B. Parker, J. Meyer</i>	

ANALYSIS OF THE ROLE OF FERRICRETE ON GROUNDWATER-SURFACE WATER EXCHANGE FROM ELECTRICAL RESISTIVITY	188
<i>A. Rickel, B. Hoagland, K. Singha</i>	

EFFICIENT HYDROGEOLOGIC CHARACTERIZATION WITH A NEW DIRECT PUSH MAGNETIC RESONANCE SYSTEM	189
<i>E. Grunewald</i>	

HYDROGEOPHYSICS II

HYDRAULIC ARCHITECTURE OF ALLUVIAL FLOODPLAINS	190
<i>J.M. Martin, M. Everett</i>	

COLLABORATIVE GEOPHYSICAL INVESTIGATIONS OF NEAR-SURFACE STRATA ON THE SOUTHERN CUMBERLAND PLATEAU, SEWANEE, TENNESSEE.....	194
<i>J. Paine, L. Costard, M. Knoll, D. Potter, S. Sherwood, S. Torreano, A. Turner, C. Van de Ven</i>	

ELECTROFACIES MAPPING OF THE SANTA CRUZ AND SAN PEDRO ALLUVIAL FLOODPLAINS WITH MULTIPLE GEOELECTRICAL METHODS	195
<i>M. Poje, D. Rucker, C. Baldyga, J. Cain</i>	

INDUCED POLARIZATION SIGNATURES OF SOIL-SULFIDE MIXTURES FROM ELECTROMAGNETIC INDUCTION MEASUREMENTS: IMPLICATIONS FOR EMI IN PEDOPHYSICAL PROPERTY ESTIMATION.....	196
<i>D. Glaser, B. Barrowes, F. Shubitidze, D. Ntarlagiannis, L. Slater</i>	

HYDROGEOPHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF SOAP HOLES ON THE ALBERTA PRAIRIES: UNIQUE GROUNDWATER DISCHARGE FEATURES	197
<i>L. Woods, R. Lauer, C. Ryan, S. Reid</i>	

INTERNATIONAL DEMINING AND UXO

ECHOES OF THE MUJAHEDDEEN IN AFGHANISTAN.....	198
<i>B. Selfridge, M. Wade, K. Baumann, D. Ray, N. Fisher</i>	

DEEP ORDINANCE LOCATION AND MAPPING CASE STUDY	199
<i>M. Di Bello, F. Stickel</i>	

ULTRATEM-IV UXO DETECTION AND SCREENING PROJECTS IN AUSTRALIA AND SE ASIA.....	200
<i>W. Rowlands, S. Billings</i>	

APPLYING LESSONS LEARNED FROM MILITARY MUNITIONS RESPONSE TO MINE ACTION IN BIH, IRAQ, LAOS, AND SYRIA	201
<i>E. Atkinson, T. Biggs</i>	

MC INVESTIGATION

ASSESSING THE CAPABILITY OF ADVANCED GEOPHYSICAL CLASSIFICATION TECHNIQUES TO INFORM MINIMUM SEPARATION DISTANCES FOR UXO REMEDIATION	202
<i>K. Fisher, S. Cazares</i>	
USE OF INCREMENTAL SAMPLING METHODOLOGY TO SUPPORT REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION AT THE CLOSED CASTNER FIRING RANGE MUNITIONS RESPONSE SITE, FORT BLISS, TEXAS.....	203
<i>J. Sparks, S. Alder-Schaller, F. Roepke</i>	
FUDSCHEM AND YOUR MC INVESTIGATION.....	204
<i>B. Jordan, S. Hughes</i>	
YOU REALLY WANT TO SAMPLE UNDER THAT BOMB?.....	205
<i>B. Jordan</i>	

MMRP COST ESTIMATING

CASE HISTORY: APPROACHES FOR CHARACTERIZATION AND REMEDIATION IN AREAS OF HIGH ANOMALY DENSITY.....	206
<i>J. Defrates, T. Deignan, J. Baptiste, N. Stolte, R. Perry, L. Feist, D. Anderson</i>	
SUMMARY OF EIGHT AGC PROJECTS AT THE FORMER FORT ORD WITH DISCUSSION ON EM61-VS-AGC ANOMALY DENSITY VARIATION AND RESULTS OF A QC INVESTIGATION OF PREVIOUS ANALOG AREAS	207
<i>A. Gascho, A. Gascho, A. Kostera, J. Jackson, K. Lindsay, S. Crane</i>	
COST ESTIMATING CHALLENGES FOR MUNITIONS RESPONSE ACTIONS	208
<i>N. Stolte</i>	
RIS THROUGH RAS: THE ANOMALY DENSITY PROBLEM.....	209
<i>J. Jackson</i>	

MMRP EMERGING SENSORS

SLAM POSITIONING INTEGRATION WITH EM61-MKII ACQUISITION SOFTWARE FOR DYNAMIC GEOPHYSICAL MAPPING	210
<i>N. Valentour, J. Leberfinger</i>	
AGC SENSOR UPDATE.....	211
<i>J. Leberfinger</i>	
ALTERNATIVE POSITIONING METHODS FOR ADVANCED GEOPHYSICAL CLASSIFICATION IN GPS-DENIED ENVIRONMENTS.....	212
<i>S. Saville, N. Valentour</i>	
DYNAMIC ADVANCED GEOPHYSICAL CLASSIFICATION TECHNOLOGY	213
<i>J. Miller</i>	
DETECTION AND CLASSIFICATION OF UXO WITH THE UltraTEM EMI SYSTEM: FORMER CAMP BRECKINRIDGE.....	214
<i>L. Pasion, L. Beran, D. Sinex, C. Nycum, T. Deignan, S. Billings, W. Rowlands</i>	

FAST AND ACCURATE DETECTION AND CLASSIFICATION SUBSURFACE TARGETS USING EMERGING SENSORS' DATA SETS	215
<i>F. Shubitidze, B. Barrowes, J. Keranen, J. Miller, I. Shamatava</i>	

MMRP SITE APPLICATIONS I

BACKGROUND SOIL RESPONSE EFFECTS AND MITIGATION FOR AGC, CASE STUDY	216
<i>D. Hall, C. Murray, A. Walker</i>	
DYNAMIC DETECTION FOR MUNITIONS RESPONSE IN POWERLINE IMPACTED AREAS USING AGC SENSORS	217
<i>D. Hall, C. Murray, W. Baum</i>	
MULTI-TECHNIQUE DETECTION OF BURIED INERT EXPLOSIVE DEVICES IN URBAN CONTEXT: METAL DETECTION, MAGNETOMETER AND GROUND-PENETRATING RADAR	218
<i>D.M. Rodrigues, N. Barraca, A. Costa, J. Borges, F. Almeida, L. Fernandes, R. Moura, A. Madureira-Carvalho</i>	
ACHIEVING DGM DATA COVERAGE IN (IN)ACCESSIBLE AREAS	220
<i>J. Powers, S. LeFrancois, M. Barner</i>	
SPRING VALLEY: CHALLENGES OF ADVANCED GEOPHYSICAL CLASSIFICATION PROJECTS AT URBAN WILDERNESS AND RESIDENTIAL SITES	224
<i>S. Takata, B. Hnetinka, K. Yarmush, D. King, N. Lhomme, K. Kingdon</i>	

MMRP SITE APPLICATIONS II

ULTRATEM MARINE TOWED SYSTEM FOR DETECTION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF BURIED ORDNANCE	226
<i>J. Gamey, R. Funk, S. Billings</i>	
YOU ARE NOT ALONE: ISSUES IN MILITARY MUNITIONS RESPONSE PROGRAM EXECUTION	227
<i>K. Enriquez, M. Madcharo, M. Madcharo</i>	
CASE STUDIES IN DATA MANAGEMENT FROM TWO LARGE SCALE MUNITIONS RESPONSE PROJECT SITES	228
<i>M. Elwaseif, S. Saville</i>	
DYNAMIC EMI SENSOR PLATFORM FOR DIGITAL GEOPHYSICAL MAPPING AND AUTOMATED CLUTTER REJECTION FOR CONUS AND OCONUS APPLICATIONS	229
<i>J. Keranen, J. Miller, G. Schultz, S. Laudato</i>	

MODELING AND INVERSION OF GEOPHYSICAL DATA

MULTI-SENSOR UAV PLATFORM WITH MACHINE LEARNING FOR LANDMINE DETECTION	230
<i>H. Myers, D.P. Lathrop</i>	
A BACK-CASTING AND PARAMETERIZATION APPROACH FOR IMPROVED NSG WORKFLOWS AND USEFUL END-USER PRODUCTS	232
<i>G. Pettifer</i>	

IMPROVING NEAR-SURFACE FULL WAVEFORM INVERSION BASED ON THE SEISMIC WAVEFRONT ATTRIBUTES.....	235
<i>P. Bakhtiari Rad, C. Hickey</i>	

REALISTIC 3D SIMULATION OF GPR FOR LANDMINE/IED DETECTION INCLUDING FULL ANTENNA MODELS, SOIL DISPERSION AND HETEROGENEITY	243
<i>S. Stadler, S. Schennen, J. Igel</i>	

FIELD ASSESSMENT OF FDEM AND GPR TO RESOLVE SOIL MOISTURE VARIATIONS USING MACHINE LEARNING.....	244
<i>N. Terry, F. Day-Lewis, D. Werkema, J. Lane</i>	

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE TECHNIQUES TO THE INTERPRETATION OF GEOPHYSICAL MEASUREMENTS.....	245
<i>D. Fitzgerald, G. Cottew, T. Leonard</i>	

NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE

NMR LOGGING IN DEGLACIAL SEDIMENTS OF THE OTTAWA VALLEY	255
<i>H. Crow, D. Paradis, M. Griffiths, X.X. Liang</i>	

EVALUATING WATER DISTRIBUTION IN MEROKARST SYSTEM USING NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE.....	258
<i>F. Zhang, C. Zhang</i>	

PARAMETER UNCERTAINTY ESTIMATION FOR BOREHOLE NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE DATA.....	259
<i>B. Minsley</i>	

MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING TO MONITOR SORGHUM ROOTS.....	260
<i>M. Conradi, H.T. Fabich, S.A. Altobelli, G.C. Bagnall, N. Rajan, C.L. Morgan, J. Mullet, M.S. Rosen</i>	

OPTICAL SENSING – DAS, THERMAL, LIDAR & MULTI-SPECTRAL SENSING

INVENTORY MAPPING OF LANDSLIDES USING LIDAR IN THE BUFFALO NATIONAL RIVER, ARKANSAS.....	261
<i>A. Okok, J.D. Rogers, S. Hamad</i>	

SNOW COUPLED DISTRIBUTED ACOUSTIC SENSING FOR INTRUSION DETECTION OF POLAR BEARS IN ARCTIC CAMPS.....	262
<i>D. Glaser, R.D. Costley, K. Hathaway, G. Boitnott, J. Weale</i>	

FIELD TESTING OF A POLARIZATION-BASED BATHYMETRIC LIDAR.....	263
<i>P. Kinzel, C. Legleiter, P. Grams</i>	

PROXIMAL SOIL SENSING USING GEOPHYSICAL TECHNIQUES

A REVIEW OF THE HIGH-FREQUENCY SURFACE WAVE METHOD FOR PROXIMAL SOIL SENSING	264
<i>Z. Lu</i>	

MEASURING SOIL PROFILE VARIATIONS DURING RAINFALL EVENTS USING THE HF-MASW METHOD	265
<i>Z. Lu</i>	
MAPPING AGRICULTURAL SUBSURFACE DRAINAGE SYSTEMS WITH GPR AND UAVs: A RESEARCH SUMMARY	266
<i>B. Allred</i>	
PORTATIVE SENSORS FOR MEASURING SOIL ELECTRICAL PARAMETERS IN SITU	267
<i>L. Golovko, Y. Manstein, A. Pozdnyakova, L. Pozdnyakov, D. Romanov</i>	

RISK ASSESSMENT FOR EXPLOSIVE HAZARDS I

EVALUATING RISK DURING THE MILITARY MUNITIONS RESPONSE PROGRAM REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION AT A FORMERLY USED DEFENSE SITE ON THE MID-ATLANTIC SEASHORE	268
<i>I. Harvey</i>	
DEVELOPING MEANINGFUL QUALITY MEASURES FOR NATURALLY OCCURRING SPATIAL VARIABILITY AT COMPLEX MILITARY MUNITIONS RESPONSE SITES.....	270
<i>K. Meier</i>	
THE IMPORTANCE OF PLANNING AHEAD: THE ARMY RISK MANAGEMENT METHOD AND THE CERCLA PROCESS.....	271
<i>J. Salisbury</i>	
USING VERTICAL CONCEPTUAL SITE MODELS AND THE UNITED STATES ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS' MUNITIONS AND EXPLOSIVES OF CONCERN RISK MATRICES AS TOOLS TO SUPPORT DECISION-MAKING FOR REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION/FEASIBILITY STUDY PROJECTS.....	272
<i>S. Burnett, N. Stolte</i>	
UXO RISK MANAGEMENT – A UK AND EUROPEAN PERSPECTIVE	273
<i>L. Askham, S. Cooke</i>	

RISK ASSESSMENT FOR EXPLOSIVE HAZARDS II

SO YOU WANT TO WRITE AN FS - PART 1	274
<i>A. Schwartz, B. Jordan</i>	
SO YOU WANT TO WRITE AN FS - PART 2.....	275
<i>A. Schwartz, B. Jordan</i>	

ROBOTIC APPLICATIONS TO MR I

DEPLOYMENT OF REMOTE CONTROLLED/ROBOTIC SYSTEMS FOR MUNITIONS RESPONSE SITE PREPARATION, CLEARANCE, CHARACTERIZATION, AND RESTORATION	276
<i>M. Caruso, L. Casale, M. Winningham</i>	
ROBOTIC RANGE CLEARANCE – PAST, PRESENT AND FUTURE.....	278
<i>J. Haas, M. Fordham, J. Kolb, M. Pettit, T. Burrell</i>	

THE STORY OF THE “KITCHEN SINK PROJECT” AND HOW ROBOTICS HELPED IT SUCCEED.....	279
<i>B. Selfridge, P. Meehan, W. Lewis, H. Mcdonald</i>	

DEMINEING 4.0; PRINCIPLES OF THE LATEST INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION APPLIED TO HUMANITARIAN DEMINEING	280
<i>T. Bechtel, G. O'grady</i>	

ROBOTIC APPLICATIONS TO MR II

ROBOTIZING CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT TO SUPPORT MUNITION RESPONSE SERVICES	284
<i>R. Mawson</i>	

USACE VISION OF THE POTENTIAL APPLICATIONS OF ROBOTICALLY CONTROLLED HEAVY MACHINERY TO SUPPORT ACTIVE RANGE OPERATIONS	285
<i>B. Selfridge, S. O'Neal, D. Gaston, T. Hamil</i>	

SEISMIC BODY WAVES METHODS I

3D SINKHOLE IMAGING WITH SEISMIC WAVEFORM TOMOGRAPHY	286
<i>M. Mirzanejad, K. Tran</i>	

GROUND EXCITATION ASSOCIATED WITH ACOUSTIC AND SEISMIC SOURCES.....	287
<i>C. Hickey, L. Wodajo, M. Mohammadi</i>	

A SEISMIC TALE OF TWO RIVERS	288
<i>N. Pendrigh</i>	

IMAGING OF NEAR-SURFACE SEISMIC REFLECTIONS USING KINEMATIC WAVEFRONT ATTRIBUTES.....	289
<i>P. Bakhtiari Rad, C. Hickey</i>	

SEISMIC BODY WAVES METHODS II

APPLICATION OF BROADBAND 2D AND 3D SEISMIC REFLECTION SURVEYS FOR IMPROVED DELINEATION OF THE LAKE OKEECHOBEE AREA FLORIDAN AQUIFER SYSTEM HYDROSTRATIGRAPHY	295
<i>F. Michelsen, J. Ortega</i>	

SEISMIC REFRACTION TOMOGRAPHY FOR NEW PENSTOCK DESIGN IN REMOTE ALASKA – A CASE STUDY ON HOW MANY DIFFERENT NOISE SOURCES CAN BE OVERCOME IN ONE PROJECT	296
<i>M. Moller, J. Sheehan</i>	

NEAR-SURFACE SEISMIC DIFFRACTION IMAGING: A MULTI-PARAMETER SEPARATION APPROACH.....	298
<i>P. Bakhtiari Rad, C. Hickey</i>	

IMPROVING THE RESOLUTION OF FRESNEL VOLUME TOMOGRAPHY WITH WAVELENGTH-DEPENDENT VELOCITY SMOOTHING.....	305
<i>S. Rohdewald</i>	

THE ENIGMATIC CRESTONE CRATER 2.0

GREAT SAND DUNES AEOLIAN SYSTEM – SETTING AND HISTORY FOR DISCUSSION ABOUT THE CRESTONE CRATER	309
<i>A. Valdez</i>	
A REVIEW OF POTENTIAL-FIELD AIRBORNE GEOPHYSICS NEAR GREAT SAND DUNES NATIONAL PARK AND PRESERVE	310
<i>B. Drenth, V.J.S. Grauch</i>	
CRESTONE CRATER IN REGIONAL CONTEXT: GEOLOGY OF THE SAN LUIS VALLEY, COLORADO.....	311
<i>E. Harmon</i>	
THE ENIGMATIC CRESTONE CRATER - PART II.....	312
<i>J. Stowell, F. Diogo</i>	
UAS MAGNETIC SURVEYS OVER CRESTONE CRATER.....	322
<i>R. Bell</i>	

TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE – ENGINEERING, MONITORING & EVALUATION

GEOPHYSICAL AND GEOMORPHIC EVIDENCE OF A COLLAPSE ORIGIN FOR LARGE SANDSTONE DEPRESSIONS LOCATED ATOP THE WESTERN CUMBERLAND PLATEAU IN SOUTHCENTRAL TENNESSEE.....	323
<i>K. Hon, A. Brock-Hon, S. McCarragher</i>	
TIME-LAPSE RESISTIVITY MONITORING OF A SIMULATED RUNOFF TEST OF A BIOSWALE IN PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA.....	324
<i>G. Pope, J. Nyquist, L. Toran, R. Traver, G. Zaremba</i>	
SUBSURFACE INVESTIGATION OF AN EARTHEN CONTAINMENT STRUCTURE.....	325
<i>B. Breland, J. Simms</i>	
FULL WAVEFORM TOMOGRAPHY FOR NONDESTRUCTIVE TESTING APPLICATIONS OF DEEP FOUNDATIONS.....	326
<i>A. Kordjazi, S. Mahvelati, J. Coe</i>	
USE OF PORTABLE SEISMIC PROPERTY ANALYZER AND GROUND PENETRATING RADAR TO ASSESS BRIDGE DECKS.....	327
<i>S. Hamad, N. Anderson, A. Okok, W. Ammar</i>	

UAS AND AIRBORNE GEOPHYSICS I

PERMAFROST MAPPING USING THE RESOLVE AIRBORNE EM SYSTEM: KLONDIKE HIGHWAY, YUKON, CANADA.....	328
<i>M. Best, S. Ryan, I. Fage</i>	
OLD DATA – MODERN INTERPRETATIONS: UNDERSTANDING THE VALUE OF INVERSE MODELING ON THE STILLWATER COMPLEX, MT.....	329
<i>B. Bloss, M. Zientek, C. Finn, H. Parks</i>	

DESIGN CONSTRAINTS FOR LOW-ALTITUDE DRONE MAGNETOMETER SURVEYS	330
<i>W. Doll, T.J. Gamey</i>	
TESTING OF SUAS GROUND-PENETRATING RADAR FOR NON-CONTACT MEASUREMENT OF RIVER BATHYMETRY	331
<i>C. Dawson, J. Lane, E. White</i>	
 <u>UAS AND AIRBORNE GEOPHYSICS II</u>	
HIGH-RESOLUTION AIRBORNE ELECTROMAGNETIC, MAGNETIC, AND RADIOMETRIC SURVEY NEAR SHELLMOUND, MISSISSIPPI	332
<i>B. Burton, B. Minsley, B. Bloss, S. James, J.R. Rigby, W. Kress, B. Smith</i>	
LOCATING FOUR ABANDONED O&G WELLS: AN EFFECTIVE APPLICATION OF THE UAV MAGNETIC SURVEY METHOD	333
<i>R. Bell</i>	
COMPARISON OF FLIGHT HEIGHT CONTROLLED UAV AND GROUND VECTOR MAGNETOMETER SURVEY DATA COVERING AN URBAN LANDFILL	334
<i>E. Cunion</i>	
WIDE-AREA AUTONOMOUS AEROMAGNETIC SURVEYS IN UXO DETECTION AND IDENTIFICATION	336
<i>A. Nikulin, T. De Smet, A. Puliaiev, P. Kosolapkin, V. Gitchenko, A. Smirnov</i>	
 <u>UNDERWATER METHODS FOR MEC DETECTION AND CLASSIFICATION I</u>	
MARINE UXO DETECTION: CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF THE MAGNETIC WORKFLOW	337
<i>S.L. Underhay, M. Vardy, T. Henstock</i>	
MODELING AND CHARACTERIZING EMI RESPONSE IN A MULTILAYERED MARINE MUNITIONS SITE	345
<i>L. Song, S. Billings, L. Pasion, L. Beran</i>	
UNDERWATER MILITARY MUNITIONS SITES - LESSONS LEARNED WHILE CONDUCTING REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION/FEASIBILITY STUDY AND TIME CRITICAL REMOVAL ACTION PROJECTS	346
<i>S. Burnett, N. Stolte</i>	
ROV-BASED 3D CONTROLLED SOURCE ELECTROMAGNETICS FOR UXO DETECTION AND CLASSIFICATION	347
<i>J. Miller</i>	
 <u>UNDERWATER METHODS FOR MEC DETECTION AND CLASSIFICATION II</u>	
MULTI-PARAMETER MEASUREMENT AND MAPPING VIA AN AMPHIBIOUS, AUTONOMOUS BOTTOM CRAWLER	348
<i>A. Mangolds, G. Schultz</i>	
ACOUSTIC DETECTION, CLASSIFICATION, AND LOCALIZATION OF UXO IN WATER SHALLOWER THAN 5 M.....	349
<i>K. Foote, M. Borrelli, B. Legare, B. McCormack, D. Solazzo, J. Johnson</i>	
TIME DOMAIN EMI RESPONSES FOR MULTILAYER STRUCTURE TO ENHANCE UNDERWATER UXO DETECTION AND CLASSIFICATION	350
<i>F. Shubitidze, B. Barrowes, M.L. Maxson, I. Shamatava</i>	