

Combined Power Plant Air Pollutant Control Mega Symposium

Held Aug 30 – Sept 2, 2004
Washington, D.C.

Volume 1

Printed from CD-ROM with permission by:

Curran Associates, Inc.
57 Morehouse Lane
Red Hook, NY 12571
www.proceedings.com

ISBN: 978-1-60423-513-5

Table of Contents Volume 1

Session 1: Mercury Controls: Field Demonstrations

- 1 Field Test Program for Long-Term Operation of a COHPAC® System for Removing Mercury from Coal-Fired Flue Gas**
J. Bustard, C. Lindsey, P. Brignac, T. Taylor, T. Starns, S. Sjostrom, R. Schlager, M. Durham, ADA-ES, Inc.; R. Chang, EPRI; A. O'Palko, DOE/NETL; et al.
- 18 Sorbent Injection for Mercury Control Upstream of Small-SCA ESPs**
K. Dombrowski, C. Richardson, T. Machalek, URS Corporation; D. Chapman, U.S. DOE-NETL; R. Chang, EPRI; L. Monroe, M. Berry, N. Irvin, Southern Company Generation and Energy Marketing; K. McBee, Georgia Power; S. Sjostrom, ADA-ES; et al.
- 30 Full-Scale Mercury-Sorbent Injection Testing at DTE Energy's St. Clair Station**
M. McCoy, W. Rogers, DTE Energy; J. Figueroa, U.S. DOE- NETL; R. Landreth, Sorbent Technologies Corporation
- 39 Full-Scale Evaluation of Mercury Control by Injecting Activated Carbon Upstream of a Spray Dryer and Fabric Filter**
S. Sjostrom, T. Starns, J. Amrhein, M. Durham, J. Bustard, ADA-ES, Inc.; L. Lindau, Alstom Power; W. Penrod, C. Lineville, Sunflower Electric Power Corp; A. O'Palko, DOE/NETL; R. Chang, EPRI
- 57 Enhancing Carbon Reactivity for Mercury Control: Field Test Results from Leland Olds**
J. Pavlish, J. Thompson, M. Holmes, University of North Dakota; C. Bush, Basin Electric Power Cooperative
- 66 Full-Scale Activated Carbon Injection for Mercury Control in Flue Gas Derived from North Dakota Lignite**
T. Machalek, C. Richardson, K. Dombrowski, URS Corporation; L. Brickett, U.S. DOE-NETL; R. Chang, EPRI; T. Ebner, R. Slye, Apogee Scientific; S. Sjostrom, ADA-ES; M. Strohfus, S. Smokey, Great River Energy
- 74 Full Scale Evaluation of TOXECON II™ on a Lignite Fired Boiler**
T. Starns, J. Amrhein, C. Martin, C. Rusin, S. Sjostrom, ADA-ES, Inc.; C. Bullinger, M. Strohfus, Great River Energy; R. Chang, EPRI

- 88 Preliminary Estimates of Performance and Cost of Powdered Activated Carbon (PAC) Injection for Control of Mercury Emissions on Utility Boilers**
J. Staudt, Andover Technology Partners; R. Srivastava, US Environmental Protection Agency; W. Jozewicz, ARCADIS

Session 2: Mercury Controls: Pilot Demonstrations

- 116 Control of Mercury Emissions By Absorption on Flyash - Experimental Results of the Consol/Allegheny Pilot Plant Program**
R. Winschel, M. Fenger, CONSOL Energy Inc.; K. Payette, Allegheny Energy Supply Co.; L. Brickett, U.S. Department of Energy, National Energy Technology Laboratory
- 128 An Evaluation of Activated Carbon and Sodium Tetrasulfide for the Removal of Vapor Phase Mercury from PRB Flue Gas at Pilot-Scale**
L. Monroe, M. Berry, N. Irvin, Southern Company; R. Merritt, R. Heaphy, T. Gale, Southern Research Institute; R. Chang, EPRI
- 141 Pilot-Scale Testing of Sorbent Injection and Fuel Additives for Mercury Control**
J. Pavlish, University of North Dakota
- 153 Pilot Scale Test Results on Plasma-Enhanced Electrostatic Precipitation Technology for Mercury Removal**
J. Reynolds, W. Buckley, B. Altshuler, I. Ray, CR Clean Air Technologies; R. Altman, Electric Power Research Institute; D. Battleson, J. Montgomery, MSE-Technology Applications; M. Berry, W. Harrison, Southern Company

Session 3: Mercury Controls: Catalytic Oxidation/Removal in FGD Systems

- 160 Effects of SCR Catalyst, Ammonia Injection and Sodium Hydrosulfide on the Speciation and Removal of Mercury within a Forced-Oxidized Limestone Scrubber**
S. Renninger, G. Farthing, Babcock and Wilcox; C. Teets, J. Neureuter, Dominion Generation
- 180 Effect of SCR Catalyst on Mercury Speciation**
C. Lee, R. Srivastava, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; B. Ghorishi, ARCADIS Geraghty & Miller, Inc.; T. Hastings, Cormetech, Inc.; J. Hirschi, Illinois Clean Coal Institute

197 Pilot Testing of Oxidation Catalysts for Enhanced Mercury Control by Wet FGD

G. Blythe, C. Richardson, URS Corporation; M. Strohfus, Great River Energy; A. Lee, City Public Service; R. Rhudy, EPRI; B. Lani, U.S. Department of Energy - NETL

214 Bench-Scale Evaluation of the Fate of Mercury in Wet FGD Systems

G. Blythe, B. Marsh, J. Paradis, J. Range, URS Cor.; R. Rhudy, EPRI

228 The Impact of Wet FGD Scrubbing on Hg Emissions From Coal-Fired Power Stations

S. Niksa, Niksa Energy Associates

Session 4: Mercury Controls: Mercury Measurement

242 The Development of the Mercury Speciation CEM by Dry Method

K. Tanida, A. Chua, Nippon Instruments Corporation; N. Noda, The Central Research Institute of Electric Power Industry

249 German Experience Measuring Mercury Species in Coal Fired Power Plants Applying State of the Art Flue Gas Cleaning Technology

H. Gutberlet, H. Dieckmann, E - ON Engineering

260 Mercury Measurement Results at Constellation Power Source Generation's Maryland Coal-Fired Power Plants

S. Glesmann, R. Svendsen, Emission Strategies, Inc.; E. Tracey, E. Much, S. Matousek, Constellation Power Source Generation; J. Sherwell, Maryland Department of Natural Resources; D. Goldstein, Environmental Resources Management; S. Sjostrom, ADA-ES, Inc.

279 Mercury Flue Gas Measurements: Understanding Draft EPA Method 324 and Validation Results

S. Glesmann, S. Sjostrom, ADA-ES; C. Dene, EPRI; E. Prestbo, Frontier Geosciences, Inc.

Session 5: Mercury Controls: Sorbents for Mercury Control

293 Assessment of Low-Cost Novel Sorbents for Coal-Fired Power Plant Mercury Control

T. Ley, T. Ebner, K. Fisher, R. Slye, Apogee Scientific; R. Chang, EPRI; R. Patton, DOE/NETL

309 Predicting Mercury Sorbent Performance in a Fabric Filter: Comparison of Slipstream and Full Scale Results

J. Amrhein, B. Donnelly, S. Sjostrom, K. Baldrey, T. Starns, ADA-ES, Inc.

- 320 Accumulated Power-Plant Mercury-Removal Experience with Brominated PAC Injection**
S. Nelson, R. Landreth, J. Miller, Sorbent Technologies Corporation

Session 6: Mercury Controls: Novel Concepts, Fundamentals & Modeling

- 332 Large Scale Demonstration of the MerCAP™ Technology for Mercury Control**
T. Ebner, R. Slye, K. Fisher, Apogee Scientific; R. Chang, EPRI; C. Richardson, T. Machalek, URS Corporation; M. Strohfus, GRE; W. Aljoe, DOE/NETL; S. Sjostrom, ADA-ES 1:55 PM Paper No. 75
- 346 Initial Testing of Photochemical Oxidation for Elemental Mercury Removal from Subbituminous Flue Gas Streams**
C. McLarnon, Powerspan Corp.; E. Granite, H. Pennline, National Energy Technology Laboratory
- 355 Reactive Membranes for Mercury Control**
D. DeBerry, J. Paradis, C. Richardson, URS Corporation; R. Chang, EPRI
- 368 Combustion Staging - First Step in Reduction of Mercury Emissions**
V. Lissianski, P. Maly, R. Seeker, GE Energy
- 378 Practical Applications from Observations of Mercury Oxidation and Binding Mechanisms**
N. Hutson, C. Lee, US EPA; B. Ghorishi, ARCADIS Geraghty & Miller; C. Sedman, Consultant
- 392 CFD Modeling of Activated Carbon Injection for Mercury Control in Coal-Fired Power Plants**
C. Senior, M. Cremer, A. Chiodo, Reaction Engineering International
- 408 Predicting Complete Hg Speciation Along Coal-Fired Utility Exhaust Systems**
S. Niksa, Niksa Energy Associates

Session 7: SO₃ Control

- 421 Condensible Particulate Matter Emission Sources and Control in Coal-fired Power Plants**
P. Farber, D. Marmer, W. DePriest, Sargent & Lundy, LLC

- 430 System Performance Affects on Condensibles on Air Pollution Control Systems**
A. Licata, Babcock Borsig Power, Inc.
- 440 Use of ROFA to Reduce SO₃ Generation in a Boiler**
J. Ralston, Mobotec
- 456 SBS Injection™ Technology for SO₃ Control: A Summary of Utility Operating Experience**
S. Gray, URS Corporation; J. Wilhelm, Codan Development LLC
- 469 Successful Mitigation of SO₃ Emissions While Simultaneously Enhancing ESP Operation at the General James M. Gavin Plant in Cheshire, Ohio by Employing Dry Sorbent Injection of Trona Upstream of the ESP**
D. Ritzenthaler, J. Maziuk, American Electric Power

Combined Power Plant Air Pollutant Control Mega Symposium

Held Aug 30 – Sept 2, 2004
Washington, D.C.

Volume 2

Printed from CD-ROM with permission by:

Curran Associates, Inc.
57 Morehouse Lane
Red Hook, NY 12571
www.proceedings.com

ISBN: 978-1-60423-513-5

Table of Contents Volume 2

Session 7: SO₃ Control (continued from volume 1)

- 484 Wet Type Electrostatic Precipitator Successfully Applied for SO₃ Mist Removal in Boilers Using High Sulfur Content Fuel**
C. Nagata, H. Fujitani, Mitsubishi Heavy Industries, Ltd.; G. Blythe, URS Corporation
- 502 Test Results from Wet ESP Technology for Control of SO₃, PM_{2.5} and Mercury after FGD at First Energy's Bruce Mansfield Plant**
J. Reynolds, W. Buckley, I. Ray, CR Clean Air Technologies; M. Jones, First Energy; B. Aljoe, National Energy Technology Laboratory; D. Bayliss, Ohio University
- 511 SO₃ Mitigation Guide and Cost Estimating Workbook**
G. Blythe, K. Dombrowski, URS Corporation; R. Rhudy, EPRI
- 530 Wet ESP vs. Sorbent Injection for SO₃ Control**
C. Weilert, Burns & McDonnell

Session 8: NO_x Control: Combustion & Post Combustion

- 539 Achieving NO_x Emissions of less than 0.10 lb/MMBtu in a 600 MWe Tangentially Fired Boiler Burning PRB Coal using Combustion System Modifications**
L. Ohnheiser, J. Mathis, S. Matus, Lower Colorado River Authority; R. Veenema, P. Bailey, ALSTOM Power Inc.
- 550 O&M Cost Optimized SCR Operation and Management at AES's Somerset Station**
S. Wiese, K. Sauvageau, AES Somerset LLC
- 566 Performance Results of SCR Installation for Henderson Municipal Power and Light's Station Two**
D. Pattison, Burns & McDonnell; J. Garner, HMP&L
- 589 Operating Experience of SCRs at Texas Genco's Coal and Gas Fired Power Plants**
R. Gaikwad, Sargent & Lundy; B. Butler, Texas Genco
- 601 Innovative Low-Load Supplementaal Heating for the F.B. Culley Unit 3 SCR System**
M. Chapin, J. Walawender, Black & Veatch; R. Bradford, J. Minnette, Vectren Corporation

- 618 Unique Design Solution and Implementation Approach for Dayton Power & Light Killen Station SCR Project**
D. Fischer, J. Hover, Black & Veatch; D. Capozella, Dayton Power & Light
- 632 Design Concepts for Commissioning an SCR in Less Than Two Weeks**
T. Kierspe, Santee Cooper
- 645 Development and Performance Data for Ammonia Injection and Static Mixing Processes on SCR Applications**
K. Rogers, C. Thompson, Babcock & Wilcox
- 668 In-Duct SCR Retrofit at Handley Station Unit 3 Ammonia Injection and Mixing System Design and Performance**
C. Erickson, R. Lisauskas, E. Reicker, Riley Power, Inc.; J. Walker, Exelon Power
- 687 Saving Catalyst Cost and Optimizing Unit Performance by Means of E.ON's SCR Management System**
H. Gutberlet, E.ON Engineering GmbH; J. Brandenstein, J. Student, E - ON Engineering
- 712 On-site SCR Catalyst Rejuvenation Process as Part of a Catalyst Management Plan**
K. Weigl, Envirogy GmbH; C. Ayers, Enerfab Inc.
- 721 SCR Retrofits for Coal Fired Plants: Lessons Learned**
R. McLaughlin, W. Blunden, Washington Group International
- 740 Updating Performance and Cost of NO_x Control Technologies in the Integrated Planning Model**
S. Khan, U.S. EPA
- 755 Application of a Combustion Optimization System to Improve Coal-Fired Boiler Performance and Emissions**
N. Widmer, D. Moyeda, GE Energy; W. Penrod, C. Linville, Sunflower Electric Power Cooperative

Session 9: SO₂ Control

- 770 Duke Power WFGD Retrofit Program: Achieving the Lowest System-Wide Cost of Compliance**
J. McCarthy, Duke Power; J. Rodgers, Dr., Clemson University; J. Dopatka, P. Rader, Alstom Power - Environmental Control Systems; C. Bussell, Shaw Stone & Webster

- 795 Limestone FGD System Retrofit to San Juan Generating Station Problems, Pitfalls and Performance Following Start-Up**
D. Stevenson, PNM San Juan Station
- N/A Improving the Performance of KEPAR FGD System** Paper not available at time of CD ROM production. Please visit www.megasymposium.org/papers.asp for a copy of the paper.
S. Park, H. An, K. Kim, S. Chun, Korea Electric Power Research Institute
- 809 Commercial Experience and Actual-Plant-Scale Test Facility of MHI Single Tower FGD**
T. Ushiku, Y. Nakayama, Mitsubishi Heavy Industries
- 819 Flowpac - Major WFGD Advance in Flue Gas Contact**
D. Schreyer, Alstom Power ESD; D. Schreyer
- 831 Features and Experience of JAS (Jet Air Sparger), MHI FGD Unique Oxidation Technology**
Y. Nakayama, Y. Tanaka, Mitsubishi Heavy Industries, Ltd.
- N/A Applying Global FGD Experience to the USA FGD Market** Paper not available at time of CD ROM production. Please visit www.megasymposium.org/papers.asp for a copy of the paper.
H. Gutberlet, E - ON Engineering
- 840 EPRI FGD Remote Monitoring & Troubleshooting Software - Project Update**
J. Noblett, URS Corp; R. Altman, EPRI

Session 10: Mercury Controls: Ash Issues & By-Product Utilization

- 852 C2P2 - A New partnership**
D. Goss, American Coal Ash Association
- 861 Environmental Characterization of Coal Utilization By-Products: DOE/NETL's Research Program**
T. Feeley, L. Brickett, W. Aljoe, U.S. Department of Energy; J. Murphy, Science Applications International Corporation
- 881 Evaluations of Concretes Containing Mercury Sorbents**
B. Nelson, Q. Zhou, Y. Zhang, Sorbent Technologies Corporation

- 894 Determination of Organo-Mercury Compounds in Gas Using Gas Chromatography with SPME Sample Introduction after Boro Ethylation, Propylation, or Phenylation**
D. Hassett, L. Heebink, E. Zacher, University of North Dakota
- 900 Fate of Mercury in FGD Gypsum Used for Wallboard Production**
J. Marshall, USG Corporation; R. Rhudy, EPRI; M. Richardson, G. Blythe, URS Corporation
- 912 EPRI Research on Arsenic and Selenium in Coal Combustion Products**
K. Ladwig, EPRI

Session 11: Particulate Control

- 923 Pulse-Jet Fabric Filter Retrofit and Results at Craig Station Units 1 & 2**
D.Wolf, Burns & McDonnell; D. Gregory, Tri-State G&T Association
- 935 Results of Indigo Agglomerator at Plant Watson**
W. Harrison, Southern Company; R. Truce, Indigo Technologies - Australia; R. Crynack, Indigo Technologies - US
- 947 Joint Russian-American Project to Enhance Performance of Electrostatic Precipitators Used at Power Plants in the Newly Independent States**
A. Zykov, K. Kolchin, VTI; W. Jozewicz, ARCADIS

Combined Power Plant Air Pollutant Control Mega Symposium

Held Aug 30 – Sept 2, 2004
Washington, D.C.

Volume 3

Printed from CD-ROM with permission by:

Curran Associates, Inc.
57 Morehouse Lane
Red Hook, NY 12571
www.proceedings.com

ISBN: 978-1-60423-513-5

Table of Contents Volume 3

Session 12: Panel Discussion: Baghouse Operations Under High Acid Gas Conditions

Panel Chair: Ramsay Chang, EPRI

Session 13: NO_x Control: Boiler Tuning/Optimization, Impacts & Advanced Instrumentation and Controls

- 963 CFD Based Evaluation of the Sensitivity of Furnace Conditions to Burner Air and Fuel Imbalances**
M. Cremer, Z. Chen, Reaction Engineering International; P. Wolff, EPRI I&C Center; R. Brown, EPRI
- 983 Importance of Boiler Combustion Tuning in Optimizing Post-combustion NO_x Control Systems**
R.E. Thompson, FERCo
- 993 Combustion Optimization at OMU's Elmer Smith Unit 1: Meeting SCR Specifications and Maintaining Plant Heat Rate**
K. Frizzell, S. Conn, Owensboro Municipal Utilities; G. Dusatko, M. Vacek, Sargent & Lundy; B. Adams, Reaction Engineering International
- 1013 Emission Reduction of NO_x and CO by Optimization of the Automatic Control System in a Coal-Fired Stoker Boiler**
K. Schnelle, A. Launghairojana, K. Debelak, Vanderbilt University
- 1032 Advances in Utility Applications of the Flame Doctor® System**
T. Fuller, T. Flynn, R. Bailey, The Babcock & Wilcox Company; S. Daw, C. Finney, Oak Ridge National Laboratory; K. Kersting, AmerenUE; J. Stallings, Electric Power Research Institute
- 1044 Experiences in the Utilization of Intelligent Sootblowing to Improve Environmental Performance of Coal Fired Boilers**
G. Warriner, J. Noblett, URS Corporation; R. Frank, EPRI I&C Center; G. MacElroy, D. Sands, Synengco
- 1061 On-line Monitoring of Waterwall Corrosion in a 1300 MW Coal-fired Boiler with Low NO_x Burners**
K. Davis, T. Linjewile, Reaction Engineering International; J. Letcavits, American Electric Power

- 1075 Real-time Monitoring of Unburned Carbon-in-Ash from Utility Boilers**
J. Letcavits, American Electric Power; T. Sommer, PROMECON USA, Inc.; H. Conrads, PROMECON, GmbH
- 1086 Air Preheater Fouling Assessments: Application and Results of EPRI's APH Model at Several Power Plants**
C. Biggs, J. Billings, Hoosier Energy; J. Urbas, Reliant Energy; R. Afonso, Energy and Environmental Strategies; S. Tavoulareas, EnTEC; J. Stallings, EPRI

Session 14: Multi-Pollutant Controls

- 1106 Pilot Test Results from EnviroScrub Technologies Multipollutant Pahlman™ Process for NOx, SO2, and Mercury**
R. Boren, EnviroScrub Technologies Corporation
- 1118 "Airborne Process" - Advancement in Multi-pollutant Emissions Control Technology and By-product Utilizations**
D. Johnson, M. Ehrnschwender, Airborne Technologies
- N/A Evaluation of Lextran, Ltd. Proprietary Material for Elemental Mercury, SO2, and NOx Removal** Paper not available at time of CD ROM production. Please visit www.megasymposium.org/papers.asp for a copy of the paper.
H. Sterenfine, LEXTRAN LTD.; K. Dombrowski, C. Richardson, URS Corporation
- N/A Development of a Low-Cost and Versatile Multi- Pollutant Control Technology** Paper not available at time of CD ROM production. Please visit www.megasymposium.org/papers.asp for a copy of the paper.
J. Ratner, G. Pipko, S. Sinatov, NorthEastern Technologies
- 1134 Markets for a Fertilizer Co-Product Produced from a Multi-Pollutant Control Process for Coal-Fired Power Plants**
F. Alix, Powerspan Corp.; B.Wolf, The Andersons, Inc.
- 1144 Multi-Pollutant Control Capabilities of the Double Contact Flow Scrubber**
J. Klingspor, G. Brown, K. Okazoe, Y. Nakayama, Advatech LLC
- 1160 Full-scale Multi-Pollutant Control - Results of the Yampa Environmental Project**
F. Campbell, Burns & McDonnell; D. Gregory, Tri-State G&T Association

1182 Boiler Modifications for Integrated NO_x and Mercury Removal from Flue Gas

C. Richardson, T. Machalek, URS Corporation; R. Chang, R. Himes, EPRI; T. Carpenter, Consultant; S. Potter, D. Styer, Duke Energy

1193 Integrated Emissions Control Cost Model - IECCOST

R. Keeth, Washington Group International

1212 Test Results from a Hybrid Dry-Wet ESP at Southern Company's Plant Miller

J. Reynolds, W. Buckley, B. Altshuler, I. Ray, CR Clean Air Technologies; R. Altman, Electric Power Research Institute; M. Berry, Southern Company

1219 The Place of Wet Electrostatic Precipitators in Tomorrow's Power Plants

R. Staehle, R. Triscori, Babcock & Wilcox Company; P. Ireland, Washington Group International; R. Cothron, AES Deepwater; G. Ross, NB Power; S. Kumar, FL Smidth Airtech

1232 Reducing Mercury Emissions by Improving Total PM Control

W. Goss, C. Sedman, RJM-Beaumont

1245 Reliability of Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR) and Flue Gas Desulfurization (FGD) Systems for High Pollutant Removal Efficiencies on Coal Fired Utility Boilers

J. Staudt, Andover Technology Partners; S. Khan, US EPA

Poster Session

General

1262 Direct Speciation of Elements on Modified Carbon Sorbents for Mercury Capture

S. Shewchuk, Saskatchewan Research Council

1280 Rolling-Average Emission Control

S. Boyden, ALSTOM Power ECS

Mercury

1297 Development of Pilot & Full Scale Demonstration Programs For Mercury Control Using Existing Emission Control Systems in Coal-Fired Plants

U. Singh, E. Walther, TRC

1305 Methods for Interpretation of Mercury Removal Data Obtained in Pilot & Demonstration Programs Using Existing Emission Control Systems

U. Singh, E. Walther, TRC

1312 Thief Process for Mercury Removal from Flue Gas

E. Granite, R. Hargis, W. O'Dowd, H. Pennline, M. Freeman, National Energy Technology Laboratory

1313 Mercury Oxidation with catalytic materials in combustion flue gases

A. Yamaguchi, Y. Tochiara, S. Ito, Central Research Institution of Electric Power Industry

1323 Evaluations of a Novel Sample Conditioning System for Real-Time Monitoring of Mercury in Coal Combustion Flue Gas

K. Fisher, T. Ley, S. McLaren, R. Slye, Apogee Scientific

N/A A Novel Nanostructured Silica-Titania Composite for Elemental Mercury Removal

E. Pitoniak, C. Wu, D. Mazyck, K. Powers, W. Sigmund, University of Florida

N/A Long-Term Storage of Mercury Sampled from Ambient Air

D. Hassett, E. Zacher, University of North Dakota

Multi-pollutant

1338 Experience-Based Decision Chart-Aided Optimization of Mercury/Multi-Pollutant Emission Control

E. Walther, TRC

1346 Chemical-looping combustion for clean energy production from methane and an oxygen carrier reaction: CO₂ captation

S. ROUX, UTC

1347 Hybrid Gasification/Combustion Retrofit for Low SO₂ & NO_x Emissions and Reduced Operating Costs of Industrial Coal-Fired Stoker Boilers

K. Moore, Phenix Limited, LLC

1356 Field Demonstrations of a Cost-Effective Advanced Monitoring Solution - A process gas analyzer facilitates deployment of a Predictive Emissions Monitoring System as a complete compliance solution

B. Swanson, CMC Solutions L.L.C

NOx

N/A NOx Reduction Through Cost Effective Overfire Air Modifications in Two Oil Fired Boilers

R. Santangeli, S. Lindemann, Combustion Components Associates; M. Cremer, D.Wang, Reaction Engineering International

1362 NOx Reductions Results Via Phased Combustion Optimization

B. King, Burns & McDonnell; J. Eutizi, San Miguel

N/A Weld Overlay Material Options for Corrosion Control in Low NOx Coal Fired Boilers

L. Paul, G. Clark, ThyssenKrupp VDM USA; M. Paul, ThyssenKrupp VDM; R. Deacon, J. Dupont, A. Marder, Lehigh University

1370 Integration of NOx Control Technologies for Coal-Fired Boilers for Cost Effective NOx Emissions Control

D. Moyeda, R. Payne, GE Energy

N/A Options for Implementing Praxair's Oxygen Enhanced Combustion for NOx Control at a Generic Utility Boiler

L. Bool, Praxair, Inc; C. Penterson, Babcock Power

1377 Coal Pulverizer Design Upgrades to Meet the Demands of Low NOx Burners

Q. Lin, C. Penterson, Riley Power Inc.

N/A Successful Application of Utility Scale Low NOx Burner Technology in an Industrial Boiler

B. Courtemanche, Riley Power Inc.

SCR

N/A Catalyst Management: Making the Right Decisions

J. Cichanowicz, Consultant; L. Muzio, Fossil Energy Research Corporation

N/A Enhanced NOx Removal Using Nonthermal Plasma Combined with SCR Catalyst at Low Temperatures

K. Kim, D. Koh, D. Shin, Research Institute of Industrial Science & Technology(RIST)

SO2

- 1393 PPC's Meliti-Achlada SO2 Removal System - High Efficiency Scrubbing on High Sulfur Fuel**
D. Muraskin, R. LeRose, ALSTOM Power Environmental Control Systems;
L. Lampropoulos, E. Mizan, Public Power Corporation
- 1405 Capital and Operating Cost Analysis of Incremental 98%, 99%, and 99.9% SO2 Removal for Magnesium Enhanced Lime FGD**
K. Smith, M. Schrock, R. Roden, Carmeuse North America Services
- 1422 Risk-based Model for Optimizing FGD Equipment Redundancy**
G. Blythe, K. Searcy, B. Forbes, URS Corporation; R. Altman, EPRI
- 1435 Balancing Supply and Demand of Flue Gas Desulfurization Gypsum in USA/Canada**
B. Bruce, Innogyps Inc.; D. Tackett, Tailored Business Solutions, LLC