Society of Exploration Geophysicists

75th SEG International Exposition and Annual Meeting

SEG Houston 2005

November 6-11, 2005
Houston, Texas, USA

Volume 1 of 6

Printed from e-media with permission by:

Curran Associates, Inc.
57 Morehouse Lane
Red Hook, NY 12571
www.proceedings.com

ISBN: 978-1-60423-610-1

Program Schedule

Volume 1 of 6

MARINE DATA ACQUISITION I

LAND DATA ACQUISITION

MARINE DATA ACQUISITION II

THEORY

AZIMUTHAL VARIATIONS IN Q

FIELD DATA AND ASSORTED CALCULATIONS

ANISOTROPY IV

AVO I

AVO II

AVO III

AVO IV

BOREHOLE GEOPHYSICS I

BOREHOLE GEOPHYSICS II

BOREHOLE GEOPHYSICS

OVERTHRUSTS, BASALTS, AND MUD VOLCANOES—CASE HISTORIES

Program Schedule

Volume 2 of 6

CASE HISTORIES OF THE WORLD

GULF OF MEXICO—CASE HISTORIES

CASE HISTORY FRONTIERS

CSEM FOR EXPLORATION 1: MODELING, INVERSION, IMAGING

EM and Electro-Seismic Acquisition and Applications

CSEM For Exploration 2: Case Studies and Applications

EM Applications

GRAVITY METHODS FOR EXPLORATION

802 GM 1.4 (0639-0642)
Time-lapse gravity monitoring of an aquifer storage recovery project in Leyden, Colorado
Kristofer Davis*, Yaoguo Li, and Michael Batzle, Colorado School of Mines; Bob Reynolds, Denver Museum of Nature and Science

807 GM 1.5 (0643-0646)
Understanding gravity gradients
Afi f H. Saad*, Saad GeoConsulting

812 GM 1.6 (0647-0650)
Gravity signature of a buried detached megablock: an example from the Mackenzie Delta area
Serguei A. Goussev*, Robert A. Charters, and John W. Peirce, Geophysical Exploration & Development Corp

817 GM 1.7 (0651-0654)
Studies on marine gravity profi les along the equator of the Central Indian Ocean Basin
S. Rajendran*, School of Marine Sciences, Cochin U

823 GM 1.8 (0655-0658)
Geostatistical inversion of gravity and magnetic data in 3D
Miguel Bosch*, Ronny Meza, Rosa Jimenez, and Carlos Honig, U Central de Venezuela; Freddy Fernandez and Nieves Nevado, PDVSA - Intevep

MAGNETIC INTERPRETATION TOOLS

828 GM 2.1 (0659-0662)
An integrated approach in geophysical investigation - a case study of Kopili Valley, Assam Arakan basin, India
Paramjit Singh, Oil and Natural Gas Corp

833 GM 2.2 (0663-0666)
Geomagnetic activity affecting aeromagnetic surveys over Canada in 2000
Marc A. Vallée*, Fugro Airborne Surveys; Larry Newitt, Geological Survey of Canada; Ian Mann, U of Alberta; Mouhamed Moussaoui, Fugro Airborne Surveys; Régis Dumont and Pierre Keating, Geological Survey of Canada

838 GM 2.3 (0667-0670)
Improved estimates of source depths from fractal magnetization model
K. M. Lawal, S. B. Ojo*, and M. N. Umego, Ahmadu Bello U

843 GM 2.4 (0671-0673)
Hybrid Euler magnetic basement depth estimation: Bishop 3D tests
Alan Reid*, Reid Geophysics; Desmond FitzGerald, Intrepid Geophysics; Guy Flanagan, ConocoPhillips

847 GM 2.5 (0674-0675)
Optimum gridding of potential field data: a case study
V. P. Dimri*, Ravi Prakash Srivastava, and Nimisha Vedanti, Nat'l Geophysical Research Inst

850 **GM 2.6 (0676-0679)**
Rejecting erroneous estimates in Werner deconvolution: An inversion approach
Xiong Li, Fugro Robertson Inc

855 **GM 2.7 (0680-0683)**
Improving UXO discrimination using magnetic quadrupole moments
David Sinex* and Yaoguo Li, Colorado School of Mines; Don Yule, US Army Engineer Research and Development Center

860 **GM 2.8 (0684-0687)**
DEXP: a fast method to determine the depth to the sources of potential fields
Maurizio Fedi*, U di Napoli Federico II

POTENTIAL FIELDS IN EXPLORATION

865 **GM P1.1 (0688-0691)**
Aeromagnetic delineation of the continental and oceanic crusts boundary
Darcicléa F. Santos* and João B. C. Silva, UFPA; Valéria C. F. Barbosa, LNCC; Luiz F. S. Braga, FUGRO

870 **GM P1.2 (0692-0695)**
In-depth enhancement of subtle basement faults using gravity data in Almada basin, Brazil
Valéria C. F. Barbosa*, LNCC; Paulo T. L. Menezes, UERJ; João B. C. Silva, UFPA

875 **GM P1.3 (0696-0699)**
Interactive gravity inversion
João B. C. Silva*, UFPA; Valéria C. F. Barbosa, LNCC

880 **GM P1.4 (0700-0703)**
Regularized inversion of 3D full tensor gradient (FTG) data for dynamic reservoir monitoring
Alexander Vasilevsky, Inst of Geology, Russian Academy of Sciences; Alexander Droujinine*, Russ Evans, British Geological Survey, UK

885 **GM P1.5 (0704-0706)**
Empirical Mode Decomposition (EMD) of potential field data: airborne gravity data as an example
Hassan H. Hassan, GEDCO

889 **GM P1.6 (0707-0709)**
Comprehensive geophysical prospecting technique and its effect for special geological bodies in deep layers
Yunxiang Liu* and Weibin Sun, China U of Geosciences, Beijing, BGP, CNPC; Hualu-Si, China U of Geosciences, Beijing

893 **GM P1.7 (0710-0715)**
Variable density option in Bouguer anomaly computation
Rambhatla G. Sastry*, I. I. T

900 **GM P1.8 (0716-0719)**
A technique for estimating the depth and thickness of a volcanic layer from magnetic data
H. V. Ram Babu* and M. Prasanthi Lakshmi, Nat'l Geophysical Research Inst, Hyderabad, India

905 **GM P1.9 (0720-0723)**
Structural inversion of gravity data using linear programming: A case study
Tim van Zon and Kabir Roy Chowdhury*, Utrecht U

INTERPRETATION STUDIES: EUROPE AND ASIA

910 **INT 1.1 (0724-0726)**
Combining seismic stratigraphy, multiattribute analysis and rock physical modeling to identify carbonate facies and karst along an Upper Palaeozoic carbonate Platform, Barents Sea.
Arnout Colpaert* and Jürgen Mienert, University of Tromsø; Jack Dvorkin, Rock Solid Images; Leif Bjørnar Henriksen, Statoil ASA

914 **INT 1.2 (0727-0730)**
A new 3C-2D seismic interpretation technology and results in Sulige gas field
Guo Yabin*, He Fuwen, and Jiang Weidong, BGP, CNPC

919 **INT 1.3 (0731-0734)**
Seismic criteria for predicting reservoir quality and delineating complex traps in Oxfordian sands of the West Siberian petroleum province
Kontorovich Darya*, Novosibirsk State U

924 **INT 1.4 (0735-0738)**
Geophysical features of the Alpine Mediterranean Folded Belt, in the Albanides framework
Alfred Frasheri, Faculty of Geology and Mining; Salvatore Bushati*, Geophysical Center; Niko Pano, Inst of Hydrometeorology, Academy of Science

929 **INT 1.5 (0739-0742)**
Facies analysis with merged 3D seismic data
Laisheng Cao, China U of Geosciences and BGP, CNPC; Yingxin Xu, Jingbo Yu, BGP, CNPC

934 **INT 1.6 (0743-0746)**
The study and practice of fl uvial sandstone reservoir prediction in Gangdong oil field
Bai Yuhua*, Fan Zheqing Li Yuhai, and Li Bao, BGP, CNPC

INTERPRETATION METHODS: VISUALIZATION, AUTOMATIC EXTRACTIONS, AND PITFALLS

939 **INT 2.1 (0747-0750)**
The flaw of averages and the pitfalls of ignoring variability in rock physics interpretation
Tapan Mukerji* and Gary Mavko, Stanford Rock Physics Lab, Stanford U

NEW WORKFLOWS AND THIN BEDS METHODS

INTERPRETATION STUDIES: NORTH AND SOUTH AMERICA

INTERPRETATION WORKFLOWS AND NEW METHODS

Program Schedule

Volume 3 of 6

MULTICOMPONENT ANISOTROPY/FRACTURE DETECTION

MULTICOMPONENT ACQUISITION AND DESIGN

MULTICOMPONENT PROCESSING/IMAGING

MULTICOMPONENT INTERPRETATION/CASE HISTORY

MULTICOMPONENT

MINING AND GEOTHERMAL

HIGH-RESOLUTION SEISMIC

GROUND-PROBING RADAR

CASE STUDIES

MULTIPLE METHODS AND EM

NEAR-SURFACE GEOPHYSICAL METHODS

NEAR-SURFACE GEOPHYSICS

1511 NSE P1.5 (1204-1207)

Mapping geology and structure using multispectral and hyperspectral data and evaluating topographic correction methods: Case study, Salmon River Mountains of east-central Idaho

Yardenia Martinez* and Shuhab Khan, U of Houston; Paul Link and Nancy Glenn, Idaho State U

1516 NSE P1.6 (1208-1211)

Combining near-surface seismic refl ection and ground-penetrating radar data in the depth domain

Steven D. Sloan*, Paul D. Vincent, Georgios P. Tsofl ias, and Don W. Steeples, U of Kansas

1521 NSE P1.7 (1212-1215)

Study of oil contaminated site using resistivity method

Omar Delgado-Rodríguez*, Vladimir Shevnin, and Jesús Ochoa-Valdés, Instituto Mexicano del Petróleo; Albert Ryjov, Moscow State Geological Prospecting Academy

1526 NSE P1.8 (1216-1218)

New paradigm prestack time migration with "geology driven" velocity modeling

Wook B. Lee*, SeisLink; Michael E. Kenney, Summit Energy

PORE PRESSURE PREDICTION

1530 PPP 1.1 (1219-1222)

Regional trends in undercompaction and overpressure in the Gulf of Mexico

Colin M. Sayers*, Lennert D. den Boer, Zsolt R. Nagy, Patrick J. Hooyman, and Victor Ward, Schlumberger

1535 PPP 1.2 (1223-1226)

Selecting which stress to use in velocity-stress models for pressure estimation

Keith W. Katahara*, Spinnaker Exploration Company

1540 PPP 1.3 (1227-1230)

Seismic pore pressure prediction without well data and poorly defi ned normal compaction trend lines: A case study from the Río Bravo delta, northern Mexico

Juan C. Clarembaux, Marcelo Giusso, Roberto Gullco, and Daniel Mujica, Paradigm; Carlos Carabeo Miranda, José G. López Leiva, and Santiago A. Sarmiento Altamirano, Pemex

1545 PPP 1.4 (1231-1234)

Well-log analysis of pore pressure mechanisms near minibasin-bounding growth fault at South Eugene Island fi eld, offshore Louisiana

Matthew M. Haney, Colorado School of Mines, (now at Sandia Nat'l Lab); Ronny Hofmann and Roel Snieder, Colorado School of Mines

1550 PPP 1.5 (1235-1238)
Using seismic and well data for while-drilling litho-pressure prediction-A case study
Ramin Nawab*, Pierre Esquier, Claude Séjourné, Bernard Bénazet, Humbert Gohaud, Anne Lehec, and Philippe Hessin, Total E & P

1555 PPP 1.6 (1239-1242)
Pressure prediction for large surveys
Michael C. Kelly*, Charles M. Skidmore, and Raymond D. Cotton, Emerald Geoscience Research Corp

1561 PPP 1.7 (1243-1246)
Implications of effective stress laws for seismic
Ronny Hofmann*, Xiaoxia Xu, Manika Prasad, and Michael Batzle, Colorado School of Mines

1566 PPP 1.8 (1247-1249)
Geopressure centroid: Perception and pitfalls
Selim S. Shaker*, Geopressure Analysis Services

PASSIVE AND CROSSWELL RESERVOIR IMAGING

1570 PSC 1.1 (1250-1253)
Microseismicity as a deep seismic source for reservoir fluid characterization
Daniele Colombo*, Geosystem

1575 PSC 1.2 (1254-1256)
Arab-D reservoir monitoring in Ghawar Field of Saudi Arabia: Alternatives to 4-D seismic
Shiv N. Dasgupta, Saudi Aramco

1579 PSC 1.3 (1257-1260)
Digital array fi ltering to improve passive seismic signal quality
Shawn Maxwell*, Charlie Waltman, and Julie Shemeta, Pinnacle Technologies

1584 PSC 1.4 (1261-1264)
Dual array microseismic fracture mapping of a horizontal well in the Barnett Shale
Charlie Waltman*, Pinnacle Technologies; Norm Warpinski, Sandia Nat'l Lab; Jim Heinze, Devon Energy

1589 PSC 1.5 (1265-1268)
Daylight seismic imaging from a buried point source
Kazuya Shiraishi*, Mieko Tanaka, and Toshifumi Matsuoka, Kyoto U; Toshiyuki Matsuoka, Japan Nuclear Cycle Development Inst; Tanoue Masayoshi, Dia consultants Co; Shinji Yamaguchi, Nihonchikatansa Co

1594 PSC 1.6 (1269-1272)
Using crosswell EM to track waterflooding at the Lost Hills oil field
Michael Wilt*, Jeff Little, Ping Zhang, and Jiuping Chen Schlumberger; Michael Morea, ChevronTexaco

Passive Seismic Algorithms and Analysis

AVO and Inversion

Program Schedule

Volume 4 of 6

UNCERTAINTY AND INVERSION

1672 RC 2.1 (1331-1334)
Mapping seismic inversion uncertainties with an application to time lapse data
Leon Barens, Total; Aline Franchet, EOST, U Louis Pasteur

1677 RC 2.2 (1335-1338)
Integration of uncertain subsurface information into multiple reservoir simulation models
M. E. Glinsky*, B. Asher, R. Hill, M. Flynn, and M. Stanley, BHP Billiton Petroleum; J. Gunning, CSIRO Petroleum; T. Thompson, DownUnder GeoSolutions; J. Kalifa and S. Mallat, Let It Wave; C. White, Louisiana State U; D. Renard, L 'Ecole des Mines

1682 RC 2.3 (1339-1342)
Volume-based rock property predictions and quantifying uncertainty
James S. Schuelke and Amy Ruf, ExxonMobil Upstream Research Company; Janel Andersen and Linda Corwin, ExxonMobil Exploration Company

1687 RC 2.4 (1343-1346)
Joint stochastic inversion of 3D pre-stack seismic data and well logs for high-resolution reservoir characterization and petrophysical modeling: application to deepwater hydrocarbon reservoirs in the central Gulf of Mexico
Arturo Contreras* and Carlos Torres-Verdín, U of Texas-Austin; William Chesters and Knut Kvien, Fugro-Jason Rotterdam; Tim Fasnacht, Anadarko Petroleum Corp

1692 RC 2.5 (1347-1350)
The impact of high-resolution seismic data on carbonate reservoir description, offshore Mexico
Richard Salter*, Dianna Shelander, Marc Beller, Ben Flack, Diana Gillespie, and Nick Moldoveanu, WesternGeco Reservoir Services; Francisco Pineda and Jose Camara, Pemex

1697 RC 2.6 (1351-1354)
Using geostatistical inversion of seismic and borehole data to generate reservoir models for fl ow simulations of Magnolia Field, deepwater Gulf of Mexico
Peter McCarthy, John Brand, Bob Paradiso, John Ezekwe, Nick Wiltgen, and Alex Bridge, Devon Energy Corp; Richard Willingham, CR Willingham & Assoc; Mark Bogaards*, Fugro-Jason

INTEGRATED MODELING AND GENERAL TOPICS

1741 RC 3.8 (1386-1389)
The mechanism of recovery of residual oil by elastic waves and vibrations
Igor Beresnev*, Iowa State U; Dennis Vigil and Wenqing Li, Iowa State U

INVERSION AND ATTRIBUTES

1746 RC 4.1 (1390-1392)
Predicting vshale and porosity using cascaded seismic and rock physics inversion
Rebecca Saltzer* and Chris Finn, ExxonMobil Upstream Research Company

1750 RC 4.2 (1393-1396)
Estimating heterogeneous reservoir permeability from induced microseismicity
Jean-Jacques Royer, CRPG/CNRS, gOcad Research group, Nancy School of Geology; Jean-Charles Voillemont, Earth Decision

1755 RC 4.3 (1397-1400)
VP/VS characterization of a heavy-oil reservoir
Larry Lines*, Ying Zou, Albert Zhang, Kevin Hall, and Joan Embleton, U of Calgary; Bruce Palmiere, Carl Reine, and Paul Bessette, Nexen, Inc; Peter Cary and Dave Secord, Sensor Geophysical

1760 RC 4.4 (1401-1404)
Vp-Vs ratio sensitivity to pressure, fl uid, and lithology changes in tight gas sandstones
Eugenia Rojas*, Thomas L. Davis, Michael Batzle, and Manika Prasad, Colorado School of Mines; Reinaldo J. Michelena, iReservoir.com, Inc

1765 RC 4.5 (1405-1408)
Measures of scale based on the wavelet scalogram and its applications to gas detection
Hongbing Li*, China U of Mining & Technology and Petrochina; Wenzhi Zhao, Hong Cao, and Fengchang Yao, Petrochina

1770 RC 4.6 (1409-1412)
Fracture spacing and orientation estimation from spectral analyses of azimuth stacks
Rama Rao, Mark Willis*, Dan Burns, and M. Nafi Toksoz, Earth Resources Lab, MIT; Laura Vetri, Agip E.N.I.

1775 RC 4.7 (1413-1416)
Improved fracture and matrix porosity characterization within Jurassic reservoir using seismic attributes
Ahmed Jaber Al-Eidan, Nikhil C. Banik*, Sunil Kumar Singh, and Al-Ajmi Neema Hussain Abdullah, Kuwait Oil Company

1780 RC 4.8 (1417-1420)
Using frequency-dependent seismic attributes in imaging of a fractured reservoir zone
Gennady Goloshubin*, U of Houston; Dmitry Silin, U of California-Berkeley

RESERVOIR CHARACTERIZATION

1786 RC P1.1 (1421-1424)
Reservoir seismic characterization using rock physics, seismic attributes and spectral decomposition in Puerto Colon oil field, Colombia
Frank Gomez*, Ecopetrol; John Castagna, U of Oklahoma

1791 RC P1.2 (1425-1428)
Integrated reservoir heterogeneity delineation of Coalinga field
Sailendra N. Mahapatra* and Matthias G. Imhof, Virginia Tech; William Kempner, ChevronTexaco

1796 RC P1.3 (1429-1432)
Characterization of thin beds through joint time-frequency analysis applied to a turbidite reservoir in Campos Basin, Brazil
Marcílio Castro de Matos*, Military Inst of Engineering and PUC-RIO; Paulo Léo Manassi Osório, PUC-RIO; Evaldo Cesário Mundim, and Marco A. Schreiner Moraes, Petrobras R&D

1801 RC P1.4 (1433-1436)
Time-lapse crosswell seismic tomography and well logging to monitor the injected CO2 in an onshore aquifer, Nagaoka, Japan
Ziqiu Xue*, Research Inst of Innovative Technology for the Earth (RITE); Daiji Tanase, Engineering Advancement Assoc of Japan (ENAA); Hideki Saito and Dai Nobuoka, OYO Corp; Jiro Watanabe, Geophysical Surveying Corp

1806 RC P1.5 (1437-1440)
MRE-based Bayesian inversion of seismic and EM data for identifi cation of reservoir parameters
Zhangshuan Hou and Yoram Rubin, U of California-Berkeley; G. Michael Hoversten, Jinsong Chen, and Don Vasco, Lawrence Berkeley Nat'l Lab

1812 RC P1.6 (1441-1444)
Ray-based stochastic inversion for reservoir parameters using 1D convolutional forward modeling
Dennis van der Burg*, Delft U of Technology; Arie Verdel, Shell E&P Technology; Kees Wapenaar, Delft U

RESERVOIR CHARACTERIZATION

1817 RC P2.1 (1445-1448)
Fractured reservoir characterization using seismics
Ravi Shekhar* and Richard L. Gibson Jr., Texas A&M U

1822 RC P2.2 (1449-1452)
Time-lapse seismic attribute interpretation of a turbidite analog reservoir model using neural networks
Matthew S. Casey*, Montana Tech; Don Sherlock, CSIRO Petroleum; Curtis A. Link, Montana Technology

1827 RC P2.3 (1453-1456)
Stochastic models of turbidite reservoirs for seismic simulations
Hung-Liang Lai* and Richard L. Gibson Jr., Texas A&M U

THEORETICAL MODELS

LABORATORY ACOUSTIC MEASUREMENTS

CALIBRATION STUDIES

ATTENUATION MEASUREMENTS AND STRESS SENSITIVITY

ROCK PROPERTY

2032 RP P1.6 (1613-1616)
Quantitative integration of geological and seismic data using statistical rock physics: Example for fracture characterization
Diana C. Sava* and Gary Mavko, Stanford U

2037 RP P1.7 (1617-1620)
Effects of pre fluid properties at high pressure and temperature on seismic response
Joel Walls*, Rock Solid Images; Jack Dvorkin, Rock Solid Images and Stanford U

2042 RP P1.8 (1621-1624)
A strategy for using mineralogy as input to rock physics modelling of sandstones
Anders Draege and Tor Arne Johansen, U of Bergen; Ivar Brevik, Statoil Research Centre

2047 RP P1.9 (1625-1628)
Ultrasonic borehole velocity imaging
Kenneth Winkler* and Ralph D'Angelo, Schlumberger-Doll Research

HIGH-RESOLUTION AND PRESTACK

2052 SI 1.1 (1629-1632)
Full-spectrum seismic inversion as a hard constraint in reservoir modeling and simulation
Hongliu Zeng*, Stephen Ruppel, and Charles Kerans, U of Texas-Austin

2057 SI 1.2 (1633-1637)
Simultaneous inversion of pre-stack seismic data
Daniel P. Hampson and Brian H. Russell, Hampson-Russell Software Services; Brad Bankhead, Veritas DGC

2063 SI 1.3 (1638-1641)
Spectral inversion: Lessons from modeling and boonesville case study
Oleg Portniaguine*, Fusion Petroleum Technologies; John Castagna, U of Houston

2068 SI 1.4 (1642-1645)
Sparsity or whiteness: what criterion to use for blind deconvolution of seismic data?
Anthony Larue, Jérôme I. Mars, and Christian Jutten, Laboratoire des Images et des Signaux, Grenoble; Mirko Van Der Baan, School of Earth and Sciences, U of Leeds

2073 SI 1.5 (1646-1649)
A study on applicability of density inversion in defi ning reservoirs
Yongyi Li, Paradigm Geophysical

2078 SI 1.6 (1650-1653)
High fidelity vibratory seismic (HFVS): Robust inversion using generalized inverse
Stephen K. Chiu*, Charles W. Emmons, and Peter P. Eick, ConocoPhillips

WAVE-EQUATION AND TOPOLOGY

TRUE AMPLITUDE AND ATTENUATION

SEISMIC INVERSION

GENERAL MODELING

2233 SM 1.7 (1771-1774)

Modeling seismic waves in orthorombic, viscoelastic media by fi nitedifferences

Bent O. Ruud*, CIPR/U of Bergen; Stig Hestholm, SINTEF Petroleum Research

2238 SM 1.8 (1775-1778)

Pushing exploration limits through seismic modeling: A case study from the Columbus Basin, Trinidad

Dale Baptiste*, Rob Elliott, and Sarah Linn, BP Trinidad and Tobago

Program Schedule

Volume 5 of 6

General Applications

2243 SM P1.1 (1779-1782)
Building 3-D petrophysical models based on the stochastic analysis of borehole logs
Elizabeth L'Heureux* and Bernd Milkereit, U of Toronto

2249 SM P1.2 (1783-1786)
Dispersion-relation-preserving fi nite difference operators: derivation and application
Fang Ye and Chunlei Chu*, Zhejiang U and Key Lab of Submarine Geosciences, SIO, SOA

2254 SM P1.3 (1787-1790)
Amplitude strength of simulating reflectors by full waveform modelling
Sanjeev Rajput*, P. P. Rao, and N. K. Thakur, Nat'l Geophysical Research Inst

2259 SM P1.4 (1791-1794)
Radiation patterns of seismic waves in poroelastic media
Florian Karpfi nger, Freie U Berlin; Tobias M. Muller and Boris Gurevich, Curtin U of Technology

2264 SM P1.5 (1795-1798)
Ray tracing with the improved shortest path method
Meigen Zhang* and Xiaofan Li, State Key Laboratory of Lithosphere Tectonic Evolution, Chinese Academy of Sciences

2269 SM P1.6 (1799-1802)
Seismic wave propagation in 3D randomly-heterogeneous elastic media
Kyoung-Tae Kim*, David F. Aldridge, and Neill P. Symons, Sandia Nat'l Lab

2274 SM P1.7 (1803-1805)
On multi-scale seismic amplitude forward modeling and thin-layer anisotropy
A. Dey* and A. Gisolf, Delft U of Technology

2278 SM P1.8 (1806-1809)
Transparent boundary condition for 1-D diffusion equation
Arvind K. Sharma* and Matthias G. Imhof, Virginia Tech

MISCELLANEOUS

WAVE EQUATION

2339 SPMI 2.4 (1854-1857)
3D common-shot depth imaging with an optimized Fourier finite-difference scheme
Lianjie Huang* and Michael Fehler, Los Alamos Nat'l Lab; Zhiming Li, Parallel Data Systems, Inc; Philip Schultz, Unocal Deepwater USA

2344 SPMI 2.5 (1858-1861)
Globally optimized Fourier finite-difference migration in the offset domain
Hongchuan Sun*, Lianjie Huang, and Michael C. Fehler, Los Alamos Nat'l Lab

2349 SPMI 2.6 (1862-1865)
Seismic resolution and illumination: A wave-equation-based analysis
Xiao-Bi Xie*and Ru-Shan Wu, IGPP, U of California-Santa Cruz; Michael Fehler and Lianjie Huang, Los Alamos Nat'l Lab

2355 SPMI 2.7 (1866-1869)
Kinematics of prestack shot-geophone migration
Christiaan C. Stolk*, U of Twente; Maarten V. de Hoop, Colorado School of Mines; William W. Symes, Rice U

2360 SPMI 2.8 (1870-1873)
Seismic image resolution: numerical investigation of role of migration imaging operator
Michael Fehler* and Lianjie Huang, Los Alamos Nat'l Lab; Ru-Shan Wu and Xiao-Bi Xie, U of California-Santa Cruz

KIRCHHOFF

2365 SPMI 3.1 (1874-1877)
Amplitude preserving Kirchhoff pre-stack time migration for time lapse processing on Troll West
David Bannister*, Momtchil Roussanov, and Charles Jones, Geotrace Technologies

2370 SPMI 3.2 (1878-1881)
The computation of gridded traveltimes when assuming circular wavefronts
John C. Bancroft, Crewes, U of Calgary

2375 SPMI 3.3 (1882-1885)
Kirchhoff PreSDM interactive dip-gather stacking and dip illumination panel generation
Fuhao Qin*, Bin Wang, Po Zhang, and F. Audebert, CGG Americas

2380 SPMI 3.4 (1886-1889)
Practical aspects of Voronoi-based area weights for Kirchhoff migration
Herman Jaramillo, GeoCenter

2385 SPMI 3.5 (1890-1893)
Data driven automatic aperture optimization for Kirchhoff migration
Amir Kabbej*, Total; Reda Baina, OPERA; Bertrand Duquet, IFP

ANISOTROPIC

AMPLITUDES AND BEAMLETS

PRACTICAL

MIGRATION

2552 SPMI P1.6 (2021-2024)
Common image gathers in the plane-wave domain: A prestack Gaussian beam migration algorithm
Qiyu Han*, Ru-Shan Wu, MILab, IGPP, U of California-Santa Cruz

2557 SPMI P1.7 (2025-2028)
Migration of duplex waves
Naum Marmalyevskyy, Yury Roganov, and Zinovy Gornyak, Ukrainian State Geological Prospecting Inst; Alex Kostyukevych*, Tesseral Technologies; Viktor Mershchiy, Nadra Group

2562 SPMI P1.8 (2029-2032)
Salt fl ank delineation by PS interferometric imaging
Xiang Xiao, Min Zhou, and Gerard T. Schuster, U of Utah

MIGRATION

2567 SPMI P2.1 (2033-2036)
Azimuth Moveout–a promising application for pre-stack data
Satinder Chopra*, Arcis Corp

2572 SPMI P2.2 (2037-2040)
The design of wavefield extrapolators using projections onto convex sets
W. A. Mousa*, D. C. McLernon, S. Boussakta, School of Electronic & Electrical Engineering; M. Van der Baan, School of Earth and Environment, U of Leeds

2577 SPMI P2.3 (2041-2044)
Migration weights for prestack converted wave data to reduce the acquisition footprint
Shu-Schung Lee*, John Willis, and Sonny Lin, PGS

2582 SPMI P2.4 (2045-2048)
DSR wave-equation migration for steep and overturned events
Sean Crawley and Dimitri Bevc, 3DGeo Development Inc

2587 SPMI P2.5 (2049-2051)
Suppressing artifacts in prestack reverse time migration
Robin F. Fletcher, Paul Fowler, and Phil Kitchenside, WesternGeco; Uwe Albertin, BP

2591 SPMI P2.6 (2052-2055)
Coordinate-independent angle-gathers for wave equation migration
Paul Sava and Sergey Fomel, U of Texas-Austin

2597 SPMI P2.7 (2056-2059)
Automatic selection of reference velocities for recursive depth migration by peak search method
Hugh D. Geiger* and Gary F. Margrave, U of Calgary

2602 SPMI P2.8 (2060-2063)
Comparison of different schemes of image amplitude correction in prestack depth migration
Ru-Shan Wu and Mingqiu Luo, Modeling and Imaging Lab, IGPP, U of California- Santa Cruz

SURFACE AND DIFFRACTED MULTIPLE ATTENUATION

NEW DEVELOPMENTS IN MULTIPLE ATTENUATION

MULTIPLE ATTENUATION

NOISE ATTENUATION AND DATA INTERPOLATION

GENERAL

SEISMIC PROCESSING

2807 SPNA P1.5 (2221-2224)

Importance of precision static corrections in seismic data acquisition in complex geological areas

A. K. Srivastav* and G. Sarvesam, Oil & Natural Gas Corp, India

2812 SPNA P1.6 (2225-2228)

Evolution of a near-surface model in an area of complex topography

Ralph Bridle, Robert Ley, Ameera Al-Mustafa, and Mike Pittman, Saudi Aramco

2817 SPNA P1.7 (2229-2232)

Tomostatics and closure phase residual statics applied to Saudi Aramco land data

Jianming Sheng, U of Utah; Jianhua Yu, BP; Gerard T. Schuster, U of Utah

2822 SPNA P1.8 (2233-2236)

3D CRS processing: a better use of pre-stack data

D. Borrini, Eni E&P Div; A. Cristini, CRS4 - Parco Scientifi co e Tecnologico Polaris; P. Follino, P. Marchetti*, and E. Zamboni, Eni E&P Div

Program Schedule

Volume 6 of 6

VELOCITY DETERMINATION

VELOCITY ANALYSIS

SELECTED BEST PAPERS IN CASE HISTORIES

SPATIAL DATA MANAGEMENT IN SUPPORT OF EXPLORATION AND PRODUCTION

2960 **SS 2.5 (2345-2347)**
High resolution satellite imagery applied to oil and gas projects
Michael Barnes, Cain & Barnes, L.P.

2963 **SS 2.6 (2348-2351)**
Are your G&G software solutions steering your bit in the wrong direction?
Clay Harter*, OpenSpirit Corp

2968 **SS 2.7 (2352-2354)**
3D integrated visualization and analysis: The key to identifying data inconsistencies
Thomas J. Lasseter* and Stuart A. Jackson, S2S Systems

2971 **SS 2.8 (2355-2358)**
Connecting the dots–The challenge of enterprise wide spatial data management
Jonathan P. Stigant*, Devon Energy Corp

RECENT ADVANCES AND THE ROAD AHEAD

N/A **SS 3.1**
Scientific Drilling in Active Fault Zones on Land and at sea: From San Andreas to Nankai Trough
Mark Zoback, Stanford U

2976 **SS 3.2 (2359-2359)**
Inverse methods: The road ahead remains bumpy
Sven Treitel, TriDekon

2977 **SS 3.3 (2360-2360)**
Remote reservoir resistivity mapping
Leonard J. Srnka, ExxonMobil Upstream Research Company

N/A **SS 3.4**
Integration of geology and geophysics
Michael Forrest, Rose and Associates DHI Consortium

2979 **SS 3.5 (2361-2361)**
Topics in advanced seismic imaging, monitoring fluid flow and imaging without a source
Roel Snieder, Colorado School of Mines

N/A **SS 3.6**
VSP: Beyond time-to-depth
Brian Hornby, BP

N/A **SS 3.7**
How did we get from there to here?
Lee Lawyer, consultant

N/A **SS 3.8**
Successful time-lapse seismic in diffi cult deep water environments using high repeatability dual-boat acquisition
Paul Hatchell, Shell

SEISMIC THEORY

TIME-LAPSE SEISMIC ACQUISITION AND PROCESSING

Permanent seismic reservoir monitoring using the sparse OBC concept
Frans Smit* and Maarten Ligtendag, Shell U. K.; Peter Wills and Rodney Calvert, Shell Int'l E & P

3036 TL 1.4 (2406-2409)
Azeri 4C Time-lapse design using 3D 4C OBS imaging decimation tests
J. Bouska, J. Howie, B. Nolte, and R. Johnston, BP PLC; R. Alexandre and R. Walters, WesternGeco

3041 TL 1.5 (2410-2413)
Prestack repeatability of time-lapse seismic data
Peter Harris*, Veritas DGC

3046 TL 1.6 (2414-2417)
Improved 4D seismic processing: Foinaven case study
Henning Hoeber*, Suhail Butt, and Daniel Davies, CGG c/o BP Exploration; Steve Campbell and Trevor Ricketts, BP Exploration

3051 TL 1.7 (2418-2421)
Matching of multiple time-lapse data using multi-coherence analysis
H. Hoeber, CGG c/o BP Exploration; D. Lecerf* and H. Zaghouani, CGG London; D. Whitcombe, BP Exploration

3056 TL 1.8 (2422-2425)
A high resolution workfl ow for 4D-friendly analysis: application to gas-oil contact monitoring at Troll West
Alexandre Bertrand, Sean McQuaid, and Roman Bobolecki, Geotrace Technologies; Sture Leiknes and Hans Egil Ro, Hydro Oil and Energy

TIME-LAPSE SEISMIC CASE HISTORIES

3061 TL 2.1 (2426-2429)
A new approach for weak time-lapse anomaly detection using seismic attributes: Geology and production data integrated monitoring of miscible EOR-CO2 fl ood in carbonates
Abdelmoneam E. Raef*, Richard D. Miller, Alan P. Byrnes, Evan K. Franseen, W. Lynn Watney, and William E. Harrison, Kansas Geological Survey, U of Kansas

3066 TL 2.2 (2430-2433)
Successful pilot onshore Abu Dhabi shows that 4D can monitor fl uid changes in a giant Middle East carbonate field
William L. Soroka*, Peter Melville, Erik Kleiss, Mahfoud Al-Jenaibi, Abu Baker Al-Jeelani, Hafez H. Hafez, and Abi Modavi, Abu Dhabi Company for Onshore Oil Operations

3071 TL 2.3 (2434-2435)
4D interpretation at Zafi ro, a complex deepwater West African field
Michael B. Helgerud*, Wences Gouveia, and David H. Johnston, ExxonMobil Exploration Company; Jane Burger, Don Ying, and Grant Gist, ExxonMobil Upstream Research Company

TIME-LAPSE SEISMIC QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS

TIME-LAPSE SEISMIC RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

3173 TL 4.8 (2516-2519)
Modelling seismic time-lapse changes in the overburden and in the reservoir as a result of reservoir depletion.
Petar Vladov Angelov*, Jesper Spetzler, Rob Arts, and Kees Wapenaar, Delft U of Technology

TIME-LAPSE SEISMIC STUDIES

3178 TL P1.1 (2520-2521)
Time-lapse feasibility study over carbonate gas field
Yip-Cheong Kok*, Chee-Kiong Ngu, and Hua Zhu, Sarawak Shell Berhad

3180 TL P1.2 (2522-2525)
Dynamic modeling of pressure and saturation effects in hydrocarbon producing reservoirs
T. A. Johansen, R. Agersborg, B. O. Ruud, M. Jakobsen, and J. A. Skjervheim, U of Bergen

3185 TL P1.3 (2526-2529)
4D repeatability beyond twice–using variance
Jan H. Kommedal* and Olav I. Barkved, BP Norge

REFLECTION TOMOGRAPHY AND MIGRATION VELOCITY ANALYSIS

3190 TOM 1.1 (2530-2533)
Southern North Sea preSDM imaging using hybrid gridded tomography
Emma Evans, GX Technology; Marc Papouin, GdF Britain; Syed Abedi, Zawiyah Associates Ltd; Mike Gauer, GdF Britain; Phil Smith and Ian F. Jones*, GX Technology

3195 TOM 1.2 (2534-2537)
Dense multi-offset refl ection tomography
John Brittan* and Jerry Yuan, PGS Marine Geophysical

3200 TOM 1.3 (2538-2541)
Automatic, dense and volumetric picking for high-resolution 3D tomographic model building
Volker Dirks*, Bin Wang, Duryodhan Epili, and Dan Wheaton, CGG Americas; Patrice Guillaume, CGG France; Francois Audebert and Nicolas Cahzalnoe, CGG Americas

3205 TOM 1.4 (2542-2545)
Fast 3-D common refl ection-point based tomographic seismic migration velocity analysis
Weihong Fei and George A. McMechan, U of Texas-Dallas

3210 TOM 1.5 (2546-2549)
3D finite angle tomography based on focusing analysis
B. Wang, F. Qin, and V. Dirks, CGG Americas; P. Guillaume, CGG France; F. Audebert and D. Epili, CGG Americas

3215 TOM 1.6 (2550-2553)
Prismatic reflections for the delineation of salt bodies
Maud Cavalca and Patrick Lailly*, Institut Français du Pétrole

TRANSMISSION, REFRACTION, AND INTEGRATION

TOMOGRAPHY: THEORY AND ALGORITHMS

3276 TOM P1.3 (2597-2600)
Depth velocity model estimation in the presence of shallow velocity anomalies and its application
E. Blias*, Revolution Geoservices, Calgary, Canada

3281 TOM P1.4 (2601-2604)
Model preconditioning by plane-wave construction in geophysical estimation problems
Sergey Fomel*, U of Texas-Austin; Antoine Guitton, Stanford U

3286 TOM P1.5 (2605-2608)
Tomastics using deformable layer tomography
Nebojsa Pralica*, Hua-wei Zhou, Allied Geophysical Lab, U of Houston; Shengwen Jin, Screen Imaging Technology; Shongxue Wang, China U of Petroleum

VSP I

3291 VSP 1.1 (2609-2612)
VSP wavefield separation: Wae-by-wave approach
E. Blias*, Revolution Geoservices Inc

3296 VSP 1.2 (2613-2616)
Vertical seismic profile first-break amplitude study
Yasar Kupelikilic* and Abdulbasit T. El Refae, Sirte Oil Company

3301 VSP 1.3 (2617-2620)
Estimating seismic attenuation (Q) by an analytical signal method
Arnim B. Haase* and Robert R. Stewart, Crewes, U of Calgary

3306 VSP 1.4 (2621-2624)
The application of equivalent velocity and slowness in VSP data processing
Xiucheng Wei* and Xiang-Yang Li, Edinburgh Anisotropy Project, British Geological Survey; Yang Liu, U of Petroleum

3311 VSP 1.5 (2625-2628)
3C VSP tomography inversion for subsurface P- and S-wave velocity distribution
Yingping Li, Xiaomin Zhao, Ran Zhou, and David Dushman, VSFusion, A Baker Hughes–CGG Company; Peter Janak, Total E&P USA

3316 VSP 1.6 (2629-2632)
Shear waves from near-offset VSP survey and applications
Xiaomin Zhao, Ran Zhou, and Yingping Li, VSFusion; Peter Janak, Total E&P, USA; David Dushman, VSFusion

3321 VSP 1.7 (2633-2636)
Virtual shear source: a new method for shear-wave seismic surveys
Andrey Bakulin* and Rodney Calvert, Shell Int'l E&P

VSP II

VSP