Validation of Sopar Performance Assessment Tools 2010

Volume 32 Part 2

Cambridge, UK 7 - 9 April 2010

Editors:

Michael A. Ainslie

ISBN: 978-1-62276-444-0

Printed from e-media with permission by:

Curran Associates, Inc. 57 Morehouse Lane Red Hook, NY 12571



Some format issues inherent in the e-media version may also appear in this print version.

Copyright© (2010) by the Institute of Acoustics All rights reserved.

Printed by Curran Associates, Inc. (2012)

For permission requests, please contact the Institute of Acoustics at the address below.

Institute of Acoustics 77A St. Peter's Street St. Albans Hertfordshire AL1 3BN United Kingdom

Phone: +44(0) 1727 848195 Fax: +44(0) 1727 850553

ioa@ioa.org.uk

Additional copies of this publication are available from:

Curran Associates, Inc. 57 Morehouse Lane Red Hook, NY 12571 USA Phone: 845-758-0400

Fax: 845-758-2634

Email: curran@proceedings.com Web: www.proceedings.com

Contents

06.

| 01. | Seeing | the | wood | for | the | trees | |
|-----|--------|-----|------|-----|-----|-------|--|
|-----|--------|-----|------|-----|-----|-------|--|

Chris Harrison, NATO Undersea Research Centre, La Spezia, Italy

02. Update on the reverberation modeling workshops

John S Perkins (NRL, Code 7140), Eric I. Thorsos (Applied Physics Laboratory, University of Washington), and Kevin LePage (NATO Undersea Research Centre, Italy)

03. Scenarios for benchmarking range-dependent active sonar performance models

Mario Zampolli1, Michael A. Ainslie1, Pieter Schippers1

04. Normal mode and ray predictions for the sonar performance assessment problems

Dale D Ellis, DRDC Atlantic, Canada

05. Calculations on predefined lfas test cases using the almost model for propagation, reverberation, active sonar

performance and coherent target scattering P Schippers, TNO Defence, Security and Safety

Energy flux predictions for the sonar performance assessment problem T

Charles W Holland, The Pennsylvania State University, Applied Research Laboratory, State College, PA, USA

07. NURC time series stimulator benchmark for weston sonar scenario A2

KD LePage, NATO Undersea Research Centre, Viale San Bartolomeo 400, 19126 La Spezia (SP) Italy

08. Calculations for scenario A2 with the sonar performance model mocassin

J Ehrlich, Bundeswehr Technical Centre for Ships and Naval Weapons, Maritime Technology and Research, Research Branch for Underwater Acoustics and Marine Geophysics (FWG), Berliner Straße 115, 24340 Eckernförde, Germany

09. Beam displacement and the development of ray models

CTTindle, Physics Department, University of Auckland

10. Energy flux insights into effects of a range-dependent seabed on acoustic propagation

Charles W Holland, The Pennsylvania State University, Applied Research Laboratory, State College, PA, USA

11. The waveguide invariant for mid-frequency active sonar

D Rouseff, University of Washington, & L M Zurk, Portland State University

12. Backscattering by a spherical elastic shell close to the air-water interface: comparison between experiment and modelling

J-P Sessarego, CNRS/LMA Marseille, France

N S Grigorieva, St. Petersburg State Marine Technical University, St. Petersburg, Russia

P Cristini, CNRS/LMA Marseille, France

G M Fridman, St. Petersburg State Marine Technical University, St. Petersburg, Russia

13. Geoacoustic inversion algorithms -- when do we stop?

A Tolstov

14. Calculations of reverberation and fathometer returns at short times

Dale D Ellis, DRDC Atlantic, Canada

15. Enhanced reverberation by ripple field forward scatter

Dajun Tang, Applied Physics Laboratory, University of Washington

16. From signal excess to track classification using the multistatic tactical planning aid (MSTPA)

C Strode, NATO Undersea Research Centre (NURC), La Spezia, Italy

17. Predictions of sonar performance for the baseline test case: the significance of echo time spreading lossess

Z Y Zhang, Defence Science and Technology Organisation, Edinburgh, SA 5111, Australia

R Miyamoto, Applied Physics Laboratory, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98105, USA

18. Sonar processing performance in random fluctuating environments

D Fattaccioli, Direction Générale de l'Armement, DGA Techniques navales, Avenue de la Tour Royale, BP 40915,

83050 Toulon Cedex, France

X Cristol, Thales Underwater Systems SAS, 525 route des Dolines, 06903 Sophia-Antipolis, France

- 19. Passive sonar performance engine (SPE) KD Heaney, OASIS Inc., Fairfax, Virginia, USA RL Campbell, OASIS Inc., Fairfax, Virginia, USA
- **20.** Bio-alpha, transmission loss and modeling of biological scattering
 Orest Diachok, Johns Hopkins University, Applied Physics Laboratory, Laurel MD, 20723–6099 USA, E-mail: Orest.Diachok@jhuapl.edu
- 21. Sonar scenario A1: killer whale vs chinook salmon

 MA Ainslie, TNO, Delft, Netherlands; and ISVR, University of Southampton, UK.

 M Zampolli, TNO, The Hague, Netherlands.
- 22. Calculations for scenario A1 with the MSM code

 J Ehrlich, Bundeswehr Technical Centre for Ships and Naval Weapons, Maritime Technology and Research, Research Branch for Underwater Acoustics and Marine Geophysics (FWG) Berliner Straße 115, 24340 Eckernförde, Germany
- 23. Elastic scattering from submerged objects near boundaries modeling, experiments and thoughts on the way ahead

 M Zampolli, TNO Defence Security and Safety, The Hague (Netherlands)email: mario.zampolli@tno.nl