Guideline on Air Quality Models 2013

The Path Forward

Raleigh, North Carolina, USA
19-21 March 2013

Volume 1 of 2

ISBN: 978-1-62748-568-5

Printed from e-media with permission by:

Curran Associates, Inc.
57 Morehouse Lane
Red Hook, NY 12571

Some format issues inherent in the e-media version may also appear in this print version.

Printed by Curran Associates, Inc. (2013)

For permission requests, please contact the Air and Waste Management Association
at the address below.

Air and Waste Management Association
One Gateway Center, 3rd Floor
420 Fort Duzuesne Blvd.
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15222-1435

Phone: 800 270 3444
Fax: 412 232 3450

www.awma.org

Additional copies of this publication are available from:

Curran Associates, Inc.
57 Morehouse Lane
Red Hook, NY 12571 USA
Phone: 845-758-0400
Fax: 845-758-2634
Email: curran@proceedings.com
Web: www.proceedings.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME 1

OPENING PLENARY SESSION

Pounding Nails With Shoes To Decide Which Shoes To Buy 1
John S. Irwin

Flag Update 8
John Vimont, John Notar, Tim Allen, Bret Anderson

CALPUFF Modeling System Status and Availability 19
Gale F. Hoffnagle

Status of EPA's Guideline on Air Quality Models 24
Tyler Fox

Status of AERMOD 41
Roger W. Brode

SESSION 1: AERMOD TOPICS 1 – EVALUATION STUDIES

Ambient Ratio Method Version 2 (ARM2) for use with AERMOD 1-hr NO_2 Modeling 79
Mark Podrez

Detailed Analysis of AERMOD PVMRM NO_2 Model Performance Using the Empire Abo, NM Database 107
Doug N. Blewitt, Dana M. Wood

TRANSVAP: A New Technique for Predicting Impacts from Portable or Transitory Sources 138
Thomas A. Damiana, Richard P. Hamel

Progress Report: Further EMVAP Development, Testing, and Evaluation 168
Robert J. Paine, Richard P. Hamel, Mary Kaplan, David W. Heinold, Eladio M. Knipping, Naresh Kumar

Illustrative Example of the Application of the Emissions Variability Process (EMVAP) for AERMOD 177
Eladio Knipping, Robert J. Paine, Richard P. Hamel, Mary Kaplan, David W. Heinold, Eladio M. Knipping Naresh Kumar

Evaluation of the Urban Option in AERMOD – Modeled Sensitivity for a Scrubbed Coal Fired Power Plant Stack 195
Tom Wickstrom, Anand Yegnan, Mark Garrison, John Sherwell

AERMOD Low Wind Speed Issues: Review of New Model Release 213
Jeffrey A. Connors, Robert J. Paine, Steve Hanna

Enhancement of Determination of Surface Roughness for Input to AERMOD 245
Hong Liu, Jennifer Ahluwalia, Ravi Mahabir

AERMOD Performance Evaluation for Three Coal-Fired Electrical Generating Units in Southwest Indiana 265
Keith A. Baugues

SESSION 2: AERMOD TOPICS 2 – VALIDATION STUDIES

Use of AERMOD for NO_2 Near-road Monitoring Implementation 282
James A. Thurman, Chad Bailey, Nealson Watkins, Richard Baldauf, Roger Brode

1-Hour NO_2 NAAQS Dispersion Modeling Case Study 311
Jason A. Gilbert, M. Kirk Dunbar, Edward J. Liebsch

1-Hour SO_2 NAAQS Dispersion Modeling Case Study 325
Jason A. Gilbert, Edward J. Liebsch, M. Kirk Dunbar

North Birmingham AERMOD Model Evaluation Study 338
Allen Dittenhoefer, Michael Hirtler, Julia Shannon, Howard Ellis

Combined Analysis of Modelled and Monitored SO_2 Concentrations at a Complex Smelting Facility 362
Peter J. G. Rehbein, Michael G. Kennedy, David J. Cotsman, Madonna A. Campeau, Monika M. Greenfield, Melissa A. Annett, Mike F. Lepage

Resolution of 1-hour SO_2 Nonattainment Area in Kingsport, Tennessee: Advanced Meteorological and Monitoring Study 381
Robert J. Paine, Frank R. Tringale, Stephen R. Gossett

Resolution of 1-hour SO_2 Nonattainment Area in Kingsport, Tennessee: Model Evaluation Analysis Results to Date 398
Carlos D. Szembek, Robert J. Paine, Stephen R. Gossett

SESSION 3: CALPUFF TOPICS

Evaluation of AERMOD, CALPUFF and CAMx with the Kincaid Tracer Dataset 424
Joseph S. Scire, David G. Strimaitis, Zhong-Xiang Wu

Short Range CALPUFF Modelling Coupled with WRFNMM Fine Resolution Meso-Scale Generated Meteorology 440
Zivorad Radonjic, Douglas Chambers, Bosko Telenta, Chris Marson, Lisa Janes, Barrie Lawrence

Near-field Visibility Impacts Predicted by CALPUFF 484
Salahuddin K. Mohammad

Retrofit Control Options Used to Reduce Regional Haze Impacts- A Case Study 502
Ramachandran V. Iyer, Robert C. McCann, Salahuddin K. Mohammad

Comparative Statistical Study of Hourly Precipitation Determined by Radar-Based Stage IV and Ground-Based Methods in the North Central United States 521
Pietro A. Catizone, Steven Zell, Christopher Arrington, Steve F. Weber, Rob White

Implementation and Evaluation of ISORROPIA in CALPUFF 561
Joseph S. Scire, Zhong-Xiang Wu, David G. Strimaitis

Comparison of Regional Haze Impacts from EPA-approved and ISORROPIA Versions of CALPUFF 576
Darryl Chartrand, John Frohning, Michelle Neumann, Robert Pearson

SESSION 4: BACKGROUND CONCENTRATION TOPICS

Probability Analyses of Combining Background Concentrations with Model Predicted Concentrations 592
Douglas R. Murray, Michael B. Newman

Background Concentration and Methods to Establish Background Concentrations in Modeling 618
Bruce R. Nicholson

VOLUME 2

NO_2 Chemistry, Calculation Problems And Suggested Need For Research 661
Bruce R. Nicholson

A Method of Developing Background Concentrations from Ambient Monitors with Significant Source Impacts 676
David Long, Pietro Catizone, Michael Anderson, Steve Zell, Michael Newman

SESSION 5: GENERAL GUIDELINE TOPICS

American Forest & Paper Association and American Wood Council Review of Updated Regulatory Air Quality Modeling Techniques and Guidance 697
Ryan A. Gesser

Comparison of Flare Dispersion Modeling Methodologies and Current Flare Technologies 710
Whitney L. Boger, Jacquie Hui, Weiping Dai, Qiguo Jing, Kaushik Deb

General Recommendations on Model Clearinghouse and Procedures 727
Steven R. Hanna

Validation of Dispersion Models for NAAQS Compliance Demonstrations 739
Roger Brode, James Thurman

SESSION 6: MODELING OF PM2.5 AND OZONE

Methods for Single Source Ozone and PM2.5 Analysis 758
Gale F. Hoffnagle

Screening Approach to Account for Secondary $PM_{2.5}$ in Stationary Source Modeling 772
Jeffrey Connor, David Heinold, Robert Paine, Gary Moore

Evaluation of SO_2 and NO_x Offset Ratios to Account for Secondary $PM_{2.5}$ Formation 795
Sergio A. Guerra, Shannon R. Olsen, Jared J. Anderson
Estimating Secondary Pollutant Impacts from Single Sources 810
Kirk R. Baker, James T. Kelly, Tyler Fox
Fugitive Haul Road Emission Characterizations Case Study for 24-Hour $PM_{2.5}$ 828
Brooke A. Myer, Anthony J. Schroeder
A Canadian Municipal Regulatory Permitting System for $PM_{2.5}$ Emissions and Health Impacts Utilizing the CALPUFF Dispersion Model 849
Franco Digiovanni, Margaret Matusik, David Pengelly, Claude Davis, Cindy Toth, Jeffrey T. Lee
Application of an Integrated Plume to Regional Photochemical Model for the Allegheny County Liberty-Clairton $PM_{2.5}$ Attainment Demonstration Modeling 874
Ralph E. Morris, Jaegun Jung, Bonyoung Koo, Jason Maranche

SESSION 7: METEOROLOGICAL DATA ISSUES

Prognostic Data in AERMET: A Case Study of MMIF versus NWS Data 902
Michael T. Hammer
An Evaluation of the MMIF Program as Applied With AERMOD 930
Surya Ramaswamy, Mark Garrison, John Sherwell
Effects Of Sensor Location And The Atmospheric Stability On The Accuracy Of An Inverse-Dispersion Technique For Lagoon Gas Emission Measurements 943
Kyoung S. Ro, Melvin H. Johnson, Kenneth C. Stone, Patrick G. Hunt, Thomas Flesh, Richard W. Todd, Steven Trabue
Use of the Urban Option in AERMOD for a Large Industrial Facility 948
George J. Schewe, John Colebrook
Evaluation of Three Approaches for Determining Surface Roughness for Use in an Air Dispersion Modeling Evaluation 973
Meghan E. Barber, Adrian E. Ciacci, Daniel P. Dix
Suggested Enhancements to AERMOD to Handle Near Source Impact Estimates in Low Wind Speed –Meandering Wind Conditions 996
Bruce P. Nicholson
Minimum Turbulence Assumption in Dispersion Models during Low-Wind Stable Conditions 1016
Steven R. Hanna, Biswanath Chowdhury

SESSION 8: OTHER MODELING APPROACHES

Comparing AERMOD and CFD Downwash Predictions for GEP Stacks 1034
Lloyd L. Schulman, Christopher G. Desautels
Practical Uses of the WindStation Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) Model in Air Quality Dispersion Studies 1053
Gary Moore, Robert J. Paine, Antonio Manuel Gameiro Lopes
Comparison of Near-field CFD and CALPUFF Modelling Results around a Backup Diesel Generating Station 1080
Zivorad Radonjic, Vladimir Agranat, Bosko Telenta, Bohdan Herbenyk, Douglas Chambers, Travis Ritchie
Using SCICHEM-2012 To Determine Single-Source Secondary Impacts And Comparisons With Other Modeling Approaches 1112
Prakash Karamchandani, Ralph Morris, Bart Brashers, Greg Yarwood, Lynsey Parker, Eladio Knipping, Biswanath Chowdhury, Ian Sykes
Evaluation of Reactive Puff Model, SCICHEM-2012 using AERMOD Field Study Databases 1126
Biswanath Chowdhury, Ian Sykes, Doug Henn, Eladio Knipping
Additional Development And Evaluation Of Hywinmod – A Hybrid Wind Tunnel/Numerical Model 1149
Ronald L. Petersen, Anke Beyer-Lout
Aermod Prediction Challenges For Cylindrical Structures And Other Unusual Buildings In Light Of The 2011 EPA Memorandum 1183
Ronald L. Petersen, Anke Beyer-Lout
NO_x to NO_2 in Air Quality Modeling 1210
Mark Garrison, Anand Yegnan, John Sherwell
Overview of Recommended Models for Hot-spot Conformity Analyses 1228
R. Chris Owen, Roger Brode, James Thurman Tyler Fox, George Bridgers, Meg Patulski, Chris Dresser
Air Quality Modeling Considerations of EPA Clean Air Markets Division Emissions Data 1249
Roger Caiazza

Sources of Secondary Organic Aerosols in the Pearl River Delta Region in Fall: Contributions from the Aqueous Reactive Uptake of Dicarbonyls..... 1267
Nan Li, Tzung-May Fu, Junji Cao
Author Index