PROGRESS IN BIOMEDICAL OPTICS AND IMAGING Vol. 19 No. 49

Medical Imaging 2018

Image Perception, Observer Performance, and Technology Assessment

Robert M. Nishikawa Frank W. Samuelson

11–12 February 2018 Houston, Texas, United States

Sponsored by SPIE

Co-sponsored by DECTRIS Ltd. (Switzerland)

Cooperating Organizations AAPM—American Association of Physicists in Medicine (United States) IFCARS—International Foundation for Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery (Germany) MIPS—Medical Image Perception Society (United States) RSNA—Radiological Society of North America (United States) WMIS—World Molecular Imaging Society

Published by SPIE

Volume 10577

Proceedings of SPIE, 1605-7422, V. 10577

SPIE is an international society advancing an interdisciplinary approach to the science and application of light.

The papers in this volume were part of the technical conference cited on the cover and title page. Papers were selected and subject to review by the editors and conference program committee. Some conference presentations may not be available for publication. Additional papers and presentation recordings may be available online in the SPIE Digital Library at SPIEDigitalLibrary.org.

The papers reflect the work and thoughts of the authors and are published herein as submitted. The publisher is not responsible for the validity of the information or for any outcomes resulting from reliance thereon.

Please use the following format to cite material from these proceedings:

Author(s), "Title of Paper," in Medical Imaging 2018: Image Perception, Observer Performance, and Technology Assessment, edited by Robert M. Nishikawa, Frank W. Samuelson, Proceedings of SPIE Vol. 10577 (SPIE, Bellingham, WA, 2018) Seven-digit Article CID Number.

ISSN: 1605-7422 ISSN: 2410-9045 (electronic)

ISBN: 9781510616431 ISBN: 9781510616448 (electronic)

Published by **SPIE** P.O. Box 10, Bellingham, Washington 98227-0010 USA Telephone +1 360 676 3290 (Pacific Time)· Fax +1 360 647 1445 SPIE.org Copyright © 2018, Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers.

Copying of material in this book for internal or personal use, or for the internal or personal use of specific clients, beyond the fair use provisions granted by the U.S. Copyright Law is authorized by SPIE subject to payment of copying fees. The Transactional Reporting Service base fee for this volume is \$18.00 per article (or portion thereof), which should be paid directly to the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC), 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Payment may also be made electronically through CCC Online at copyright.com. Other copying for republication, resale, advertising or promotion, or any form of systematic or multiple reproduction of any material in this book is prohibited except with permission in writing from the publisher. The CCC fee code is 1605-7422/18/\$18.00.

Printed in the United States of America Vm7 i ffUb 5 ggc WUHY gz = Wzi bXYf WybgY Zica GD-9.

Publication of record for individual papers is online in the SPIE Digital Library.



Paper Numbering: Proceedings of SPIE follow an e-First publication model. A unique citation identifier (CID) number is assigned to each article at the time of publication. Utilization of CIDs allows articles to be fully citable as soon as they are published online, and connects the same identifier to all online and print versions of the publication. SPIE uses a seven-digit CID article numbering system structured as follows:

• The first five digits correspond to the SPIE volume number.

• The last two digits indicate publication order within the volume using a Base 36 numbering system employing both numerals and letters. These two-number sets start with 00, 01, 02, 03, 04, 05, 06, 07, 08, 09, 0A, 0B ... 0Z, followed by 10-1Z, 20-2Z, etc. The CID Number appears on each page of the manuscript.

Contents

- vii Authors
- ix Conference Committee
- xi 2018 Medical Imaging Award Recipients

SESSION 1 KEYNOTE AND IMAGE PERCEPTION I

- 10577 03 Do radiographers base the diagnostic acceptability of a radiograph on anatomical structures? [10577-2]
- 10577 04 A cognitive approach to determine the benefits of pairing radiologists in mammogram reading [10577-3]

SESSION 2 IMAGE PERCEPTION II

- 10577 05 **Restored low-dose digital breast tomosynthesis: a perception study** [10577-4]
- 10577 06 A database for assessment of effect of lossy compression on digital mammograms [10577-5]
- 10577 07 Analysis of visual search behaviour from experienced radiologists interpreting digital breast tomosynthesis (DBT) images: a pilot study [10577-6]
- 10577 08 A deep (learning) dive into visual search behaviour of breast radiologists [10577-7]
- 10577 09 Comparing salience detection algorithms in mammograms [10577-8]
- 10577 0A Satisfaction at last: evidence for the 'satisfaction' account for multiple-target search errors [10577-9]

SESSION 3 OBSERVER PERFORMANCE EVALUATION I

- 10577 0B **Model and human observer reproducibility for detecting microcalcifications in digital** breast tomosynthesis images [10577-10]
- 10577 OC Evaluation of search strategies for microcalcifications and masses in 3D images [10577-11]
- 10577 0D Comparison of microcalcification detectability in FFDM and DBT using a virtual clinical trial [10577-12]

- 10577 OE Analyzing ROC curves using the effective set-size model [10577-13]
- 10577 OF Efficiency gain of paired split-plot designs in MRMC ROC studies [10577-14]

SESSION 4 TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

10577 0G	Interaction of anatomic and quantum noise in DBT power spectrum [10577-15]
10577 OH	Comparison of synthetic 2D images with planar and tomosynthesis imaging of the breast using a virtual clinical trial [10577-16]
10577 OI	Assessment of DBT acquisition parameters for 2D and 3D search tasks [10577-17]
10577 OJ	Quantifying predictive capability of electronic health records for the most harmful breast cancer [10577-18]
10577 OK	Test data reuse for evaluation of adaptive machine learning algorithms: over-fitting to a fixed 'test' dataset and a potential solution [10577-19]
	Towned the use of commutation all income discipations for memory and the CAD measure the

10577 0L Towards the use of computationally inserted lesions for mammographic CAD assessment [10577-20]

SESSION 5 MODEL OBSERVERS I

- 10577 0M Correlation between model observers in uniform background and human observers in patient liver background for a low-contrast detection task in CT [10577-21]
- 10577 0N Lesion detection performance of cone beam CT images with anatomical background noise: single-slice vs. multi-slice human and model observer study [10577-22]
- 10577 00 A practical method to evaluate personalized injected patient dose for cardiac perfusion SPECT imaging: the polar map as a numerical observer [10577-23]
- 10577 OP Parameter selection with the Hotelling observer in linear iterative image reconstruction for breast tomosynthesis [10577-24]
- 10577 0Q A deep learning model observer for use in alterative forced choice virtual clinical trials [10577-25]

SESSION 6 MODEL OBSERVERS II

10577 OR	Towards a surround-aware numerical observer [10577-26]
10577 OS	Evaluation of a machine learning based model observer for x-ray CT [10577-27]
10577 OT	Observer templates in 2D and 3D localization tasks [10577-28]

- 10577 0U Reducing the number of reconstructions needed for estimating channelized observer performance [10577-29]
- 10577 0V Resampling techniques comparison for CHO's performance and uncertainty estimation [10577-30]

SESSION 7 OBSERVER PERFORMANCE EVALUATION II AND TRIBUTE TO ART BURGESS

- 10577 OW Reader performance in visual assessment of breast density using visual analogue scales: Are some readers more predictive of breast cancer? [10577-31]
- 10577 0X Interactions of lesion detectability and size across single-slice DBT and 3D DBT [10577-32]
- 10577 0Y Lesion classification with a visual-search model observer [10577-33]
- 10577 0Z A citizen science approach to optimising computer aided detection (CAD) in mammography [10577-34]
- 10577 10 Can a limited double reading/second opinion of initially recalled breast ultrasound screening examinations improve radiologists' performances? [10577-35]

POSTER SESSION

- 10577 12 Study of CT image texture using deep learning techniques [10577-36]
- 10577 13 Assessment of computerized algorithms by comparing with human observers in binary classification tasks: a simulation study [10577-37]
- 10577 14 Development of a validation instrument in myocardial perfusion imaging: results of first flow experiments [10577-38]
- 10577 16 Ischemic stroke enhancement in computed tomography scans using a computational approach [10577-40]
- 10577 17 **Projection space model observers based on marginal linear discriminants** [10577-41]
- 10577 18 Characteristics of the group of radiologists that benefits the most using Breast Screen Reader Assessment Strategy (BREAST) [10577-42]
- 10577 19 Learning the ideal observer for SKE detection tasks by use of convolutional neural networks (Cum Laude Poster Award) [10577-43]
- 10577 1A Blind CT image quality assessment via deep learning strategy: initial study [10577-44]
- 10577 1B Can a totally different approach to soft tissue computer aided detection (CADe) result in affecting radiologists' decisions? [10577-45]
- 10577 1C Feasibility study of deep convolutional generative adversarial networks to generate mammography images [10577-46]

- 10577 1D Simulating magnetic resonance images based on a model of tumor growth incorporating microenvironment [10577-47]
- 10577 1E Breast elastography: identification of benign and malignant cancer based on absolute elastic modulus measurement using vibro-elastography [10577-48]
- 10577 1F Local-search based prediction of medical image registration error [10577-49]