



January 31 – February 4 • Houston

CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS

ORGANIZED BY



www.ppimhouston.com

Printed from e-media with permission by:

Curran Associates, Inc.
57 Morehouse Lane
Red Hook, NY 12571



Some format issues inherent in the e-media version may also appear in this print version.

Copyright© (2022) by Clarion Technical Conferences, Great Southern Press, and the author(s).
All rights reserved.

Printed with permission by Curran Associates, Inc. (2023)

ISBN (Print): 978-1-7138-7358-7
e-ISBN: 978-1-7138-7357-0

For permission requests, please contact Clarion Technical Conferences
at the address below.

Clarion Technical Conferences
2715 Houston Avenue
Houston, TX 77009
United States

Phone: (713) 449-3222

info@clarion.org

Additional copies of this publication are available from:

Curran Associates, Inc.
57 Morehouse Lane
Red Hook, NY 12571 USA
Phone: 845-758-0400
Fax: 845-758-2633
Email: curran@proceedings.com
Web: www.proceedings.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Pipeline In-Line Inspection Technology Development: Yesterday, Today and Tomorrow	1
<i>Bruce Nestleroth, Jan Frowijn</i>	
The New Edition of API 1163, In-Line Inspection Systems Qualification. What's in it for You?.....	17
<i>Bernardo Cuervo, Mark McQueen</i>	
Exceeding Performance Standards of Pipeline Inspection and Integrity with Advanced Data Analytics.....	35
<i>Melissa Gurney, Geoff Hurd, Keila Caridad, Vincent Tse, Terry Huang, Scott Miller, Billy Zhang</i>	
Factors Controlling SCC and Typical Cracking Speeds	47
<i>Brian Leis</i>	
Competence Development and Assessment: A Methodology and Case Study for Pipeline Integrity Engineers.....	79
<i>Michelle Unger, Pedro Hryciuk, David Buckley, Phil Hopkins</i>	
Using Nondestructive Testing and Statistical Analysis to Perform Material Property Verification and Align Records	109
<i>Jonathan Gibbs, Jeffrey Kornuta, Owen Oneal, Peter Veloo, Nathan Switzner</i>	
The Importance of Material Toughness in Crack Management.....	125
<i>Ted Anderson</i>	
Extending the Range of the Modified Ln-Secant Equation	137
<i>Michael Rosenfeld, Adam Steiner, John Kiefner</i>	
Sensitivity of Burst Pressure Calculations of Crack-Like Flaws to Input Parameters.....	149
<i>Steven Polasik, Ben Hanna, Thomas Bubenik</i>	
Collaborating with Transmission Pipeline Operators to Optimize the In-Line Inspection of Natural Gas Laterals	163
<i>McKenzie Kissel, William Deschamps, Rob van Woudenberg, Aaron Schartner, Eduardo Gonzalez, Keila Caridad, Sheshi Epur, Vincent Tse</i>	
Low-Pressure Multi-Diameter Cleaning Tools for First-Run ILI Success	177
<i>John Nonemaker, Frank Dauby, Daniel Cole, Stefan Vages</i>	
The 27 Day ILI Run: A Case Study of a Low Pressure Low Flow Line	195
<i>Denise Earles, Peter Van Beugen</i>	
Pipe Population Discrepancy Analysis: A Key Element in the Verification of Pipeline Material	207
<i>Jed Ludlow</i>	
GradeIt: Power BI App to Guide Pipe Grade Estimation	215
<i>William Harper, Thomas Bubenik, Benjamin Hanna, Tara McMahan, Adriana Nenciu</i>	
Collecting Lab Quality Pipe Material Properties in the Field.....	225
<i>Parth Patel, Buddy Powers, Steven Palkovic, Simon Bellemare</i>	
The Statistical Nature of Burst Pressure Predictions for Pipes with and Without Cracks	243
<i>Atul Ganpatye</i>	

Pipeline Crack Evaluation with Bayesian Network and Detection Probability Assessment Using Gaussian Monte-Carlo Simulation	253
<i>Deepak Agarwal, Saumitra Shankar Gupta, Anil Meghani, Santosh Kumar</i>	
Smart ILI Operation on Offshore Pipeline Tee Branching into a Trunk Line.....	265
<i>Arvin Kumar Vijayakumar, Chee Choong Wong</i>	
Reducing Bias in Chemical Composition Data with Measurements Below the Limit of Detection	281
<i>Janille Maragh, Chelsea Liu, Peter Martin, Nathan Switzner, Jonathan Gibbs, Jeffrey Kornuta, Peter Veloo</i>	
Measuring Pipeline Chemical Composition Using Laser Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy (LIBS)	293
<i>Brian Wilson</i>	
Best Practice Crack Calibration Spool-Maximizing the Data Obtained from a UT Shear Wave Inspection	313
<i>Rogelio Guajardo, Santiago Urrea, Victor Haro</i>	
Improved Measurement of Peaking Angles and Peaking Heights Using ILI Data.....	331
<i>Gerhard Kopp, Michael Frank, Thomas Meinzer, Roberto Yañez</i>	
Fatigue Growth Behavior of Cracks in Pipelines Reinforced by Carbon Composite Wraps.....	353
<i>Sergio Limon, Ryan Holloman, Chris Alexander, David Futch</i>	
Combined Defect Assessments Using Phased Array Inline Inspection Technology.....	369
<i>Manon Servais, Stéphane Benichou, Timothée Héraud, Arnaud Lemaire, Chloé Senah</i>	
Defect Localization Using Free-Floating Unconventional ILI Tools Without AGMs	393
<i>Matthew Kindree, Shannon Campbell, Anouk van Pol, John van Pol</i>	
Virtual ILI - Predicting the Results of an In-Line Inspection	407
<i>Matthew Capewell, Michael Smith, Katherine Taylor, Roland Palmer-Jones, Andy Linsley, Jonathan Martin</i>	
Pipe Grade Classification Through UHR ILI Survey	423
<i>Rick Desaulniers</i>	
Using Monte Carlo Simulations to Incorporate Measurement Uncertainty into Grade Predictions	439
<i>Joel Anderson, Nathan Switzner, Peter Veloo, Jonathon Gibbs, Jeff Kornuta</i>	
Burst Pressure Prediction of Pipes with SCC Using Intelligent Flaw Interaction Rules	447
<i>Yong-Yi Wang, Bo Wang, Banglin Liu, Alex Wang, Steve Rapp</i>	
Use of ILI Technology for the Detection and Measurement of Elevated Stress Associated with CSCC.....	463
<i>Ron Thompson, Ray Gardner, Katrina Dwyer, Richard Gonzales, Andrew Corbett, Guillermo Solano</i>	
The Development of a Small-Diameter High-Temperature Deformation Caliper and Inertial In-Line Inspection Tool	477
<i>Jay Barlow, Doug Waslen, Stephanie Dehoog, Stephen Westwood</i>	
MFL Inspection of Small-Diameter, Previously Unpiggable, Pipelines--Lessons Learned	489
<i>Ken Maxfield</i>	
Challenging ILI for NGL Service Pipeline.....	509
<i>Ayman Janbi, Mohammed Rabeeah</i>	

Investigation and Adoption of APGA’s Pipeline Engineer Competency System - The Canadian Experience.....	517
<i>Reena Sahney, Christopher Harvey, Ashley McLean, Karen Polglaze</i>	
Normalization of Deviance: When Doing Wrong Feels So Right! Integrity Lessons Learned from Five Pipeline Incidents.....	533
<i>Bernardo Cuervo, Mark McQueen</i>	
Two Decades into IM - Has the Risk Changed?.....	551
<i>Joel Anderson</i>	
Crack and Metal Loss Clustering Issues that Affect the Severity of Reported Anomalies. A Case Study.....	565
<i>Bernardo Cuervo, Mark McQueen, Tracy Thorleifson</i>	
Probabilistic Methods Applying Advanced In-Line Inspection Data Analysis.....	577
<i>Katherine Hartl, Santiago Urrea</i>	
UHR MFL for Assessing True Pinhole Metal Loss.....	599
<i>Mark Olson</i>	
How ILI Feature Grouping Can Impact Apparent Accuracy from NDE.....	613
<i>Callie Lamb, Paul Dalfonso</i>	
Managing Hard Spots Through Effective Collaboration.....	621
<i>Simon Slater, Yvan Hubert, Atul Ganpatye, Khanh Tranh</i>	
Accepting Metal Loss Corrosion on Longitudinal Seams.....	649
<i>Michael Rosenfeld</i>	
Effective Determination of Representative Corrosion Growth Rates Via Semi-Automated Signal-To-Signal Comparison.....	663
<i>Cesar Espinoza, Andres Gonzalez, Mark Wright</i>	
CPCM – An ILI Methodology to Assess the Effectiveness of CP.....	685
<i>Dennis Janda</i>	
Advanced Probability of Exceedance Method Overcomes Previous Weaknesses.....	695
<i>Thomas Bubenik, Ben Hanna, Bill Harper, Matt Ellinger</i>	
Predicting the Future: A State-Of-The-Art Probabilistic Approach to Support Operational Decision-Making.....	711
<i>Michael Turnquist</i>	
For a Few Data More.....	723
<i>Jonathan Martin, Matthew Capewell, Andy Linsley, Roland Palmer-Jones, Simon Irvine</i>	
Catch My Drift? The Big Implication of a Smaller IMU Platform.....	739
<i>Daniel Bahrenburg, Justin Taylor, Chris Figgatt, Paul Campbell</i>	
Bending Strain and Pipeline Movement Analyses Based on Multiple Data Sets of IMU Surveys.....	759
<i>Alejandro Ruiz-Alvare, Catherine Vannatta, Jessica Gonzalez</i>	
Use of Satellite Data and Artificial Intelligence for Washout Assessment for Pipeline Integrity Management.....	775
<i>Deepak Agarwal, Saumitra Shankar Gupta, Santosh Kumar, Anil Meghani</i>	

Effect of Fit-Up on Steel Sleeve Life	791
<i>David Futch, Josh Wilson</i>	
Composite Repairs on Girth Welds Under Risk of a Geohazard Event.....	801
<i>Omar Ramirez, Casey Whalen</i>	
Using Digital Image Correlation to Enhance the Evaluation of a Fiberglass Composite Repair System Through Testing	817
<i>Colton Sheets, Taylor Yeary, Scott Perry</i>	
Engineering Assessments in Support of Pipeline Safety-Emerging Trends and Approaches	831
<i>Dan Williams, Benjamin Mittelstadt</i>	
Estimating Anomaly Length Growth from Depth Growth to Support Failure Analysis and Risk Modelling	849
<i>Pat Westrick</i>	
The Impact of Failure Pressure Model Accuracy and Precision on Integrity Management and Decision Making	859
<i>Colin Scott</i>	
Using State-Of-The-Art Targeted Leak Detection Technologies to Monitor for Leakage and Condition Changes in Pipeline Systems	871
<i>Steve Edmondson, Tim Edward, Adrian Banica</i>	
Strategies for Inspecting Above Ground Pipelines in Facilities and in Environmentally Sensitive Areas.....	881
<i>John Musgrave, Michael Anderson, McKenzie Kissel, Mike Niosi, Ryan Kolebaba</i>	
Coded Excitation of Ultrasound for Improved Data Quality in Inline Inspections	897
<i>Jochen Giese, Connor Challinor, Frederic Cegla</i>	
A Case Study for a New Approach to Manage the Risk of Girth Weld Cracking in a Modern NPS 40 X70/80 Pipeline with a combination of VECTRA MFL, AXISS™ and IMU In-Line Inspection Technology	919
<i>Mohamed Elseify, Bill Gu, Lu Ginglong, Liu Quirong, Zhu Zhiping, Hou Hao, Feng Shulu</i>	
Baseline Integrity Profile for Heavy Wall Spiral Welded Pipe	939
<i>Wayne Fleury, Madeleine Dombe, Wilson Santamaria, Aidan O'Donoghue</i>	
Implementing API Recommended Practice (RP) 1183 in an In-Line Inspection (ILI) Survey	947
<i>Adrian Belanger, Dane Burden</i>	
CSA Z662-19 Dent Depth and Sharpness Screening Criteria: Are They Conservative?.....	961
<i>Christopher Holliday, Krystin Cousart</i>	
Evaluation and Application of Stress Concentration Factors for Use in Engineering Critical Assessments of Dents.....	985
<i>Joseph Bratton, Shanshan Wu, Joel Banuelos, Randall Tack, Steven Polasik</i>	
ILI System Performance for Mechanical Damage and Coincident Features.....	997
<i>Aaron Dinovitzer, Sanjay Tiku, Binoy John, Arnav Rana, Tim Burns, Mark Piazza</i>	
Chasing Ghosts: An Integrated Approach to Properly Qualify a Pipeline Integrity Threat.....	1019
<i>Michael Turnquist</i>	

Achieving Better POD, POI, and Sizing Results on Seamless Pipe	1031
<i>Max Harrison</i>	
The Use of MFL Based ILI Technology to Verify the Long-Term Effectiveness of Pipe Spring™ Repairs.....	1043
<i>Shawn Laughlin</i>	
Engineering Critical Assessment for Long Shallow Circumferential Anomalies	1053
<i>Benjamin Zand</i>	
It's OK to Tier Up: An Approach to MAOP Reconfirmation ECA Threat Susceptibility	1067
<i>Christopher De Leon, Rhett Dotson, David Futch</i>	
Bauschinger Effect on Rolled Steel Sleeve	1079
<i>David Futch, Josh Wilson</i>	
Vintage Pipelines in the Modern Age of Hydrogen.....	1089
<i>Neil Gallon, Simon Slater, Ollie Burkinshaw</i>	
Damage Prevention 2.0 : Digitalization and Automation of Right-Of-Way Visual Inspection	1105
<i>Eric Bergeron</i>	

Author Index