

13th Workshop on Cognitive Modeling and Computational Linguistics (CMCL 2024)

Bangkok, Thailand
15 August 2024

ISBN: 979-8-3313-0160-6

Printed from e-media with permission by:

Curran Associates, Inc.
57 Morehouse Lane
Red Hook, NY 12571



Some format issues inherent in the e-media version may also appear in this print version.

Copyright© (2024) by the Association for Computational Linguistics
All rights reserved.

Printed with permission by Curran Associates, Inc. (2025)

For permission requests, please contact the Association for Computational Linguistics
at the address below.

Association for Computational Linguistics
209 N. Eighth Street
Stroudsburg, Pennsylvania 18360

Phone: 1-570-476-8006
Fax: 1-570-476-0860

acl@aclweb.org

Additional copies of this publication are available from:

Curran Associates, Inc.
57 Morehouse Lane
Red Hook, NY 12571 USA
Phone: 845-758-0400
Fax: 845-758-2633
Email: curran@proceedings.com
Web: www.proceedings.com

Table of Contents

Archival papers

<i>BAMBINO-LM: (Bilingual-)Human-Inspired Continual Pre-training of BabyLM</i>	Zhewen Shen, Aditya Joshi and Ruey-Cheng Chen	1
<i>Evaluating Vision-Language Models on Bistable Images</i>	Artemis Panagopoulou, Coby Melkin and Chris Callison-Burch	8
<i>Locally Biased Transformers Better Align with Human Reading Times</i>	Andrea Gregor De Varda and Marco Marelli	30
<i>Do large language models resemble humans in language use?</i>	Zhenguang Cai, Xufeng Duan, David Haslett, Shuqi Wang and Martin Pickering	37
<i>The Curious Case of Representational Alignment: Unravelling Visio-Linguistic Tasks in Emergent Communication</i>	Tom Kouwenhoven, Max Peepkorn, Bram Van Dijk and Tessa Verhoeft	57
<i>Hierarchical syntactic structure in human-like language models</i>	Michael Wolfman, Donald Dunagan, Jonathan Brennan and John T. Hale	72
<i>Do LLMs Agree with Humans on Emotional Associations to Nonsense Words?</i>	Yui Miyakawa, Chihaya Matsuhira, Hirotaka Kato, Takatsugu Hirayama, Takahiro Komamizu and Ichiro Ide	81
<i>Large language models fail to derive atypicality inferences in a human-like manner</i>	Charlotte Kurch, Margarita Ryzhova and Vera Demberg	86
<i>Predict but Also Integrate: an Analysis of Sentence Processing Models for English and Hindi</i>	Nina Delcaro, Luca Onnis and Raquel G. Alhama	101
<i>Transformer Attention vs Human Attention in Anaphora Resolution</i>	Anastasia Kozlova, Albina Akhmetgareeva, Aigul Khanova, Semen Kudriavtsev and Alena Fenogenova	109
<i>Evaluating Lexical Aspect with Large Language Models</i>	Bolei Ma	123
<i>Daily auditory environments in French-speaking infants: A longitudinal dataset</i>	Estelle Hervé, Clément François and Laurent Prevot	132
<i>Analysing and Validating Language Complexity Metrics Across South American Indigenous Languages</i>	Felipe Ribas Serras, Miguel De Mello Carpi, Matheus Castello Branco and Marcelo Finger	152
<i>How can large language models become more human?</i>	Daphne Wang, Mehrnoosh Sadrzadeh, Miloš Stanojević, Wing-Yee Chow and Richard Breheny	166
<i>Morphology Matters: Probing the Cross-linguistic Morphological Generalization Abilities of Large Language Models through a Wug Test</i>	Dang Thi Thao Anh, Limor Raviv and Lukas Galke	177
<i>Evaluating Grammatical Well-Formedness in Large Language Models: A Comparative Study with Human Judgments</i>	Zhuang Qiu, Xufeng Duan and Zhenguang Cai	189

<i>What does Kiki look like? Cross-modal associations between speech sounds and visual shapes in vision-and-language models</i>	199
Tessa Verhoef, Kiana Shahrasbi and Tom Kouwenhoven	199
<i>Evaluating Semantic Relations in Predicting Textual Labels for Images of Abstract and Concrete Concepts</i>	
Tarun Tater, Sabine Schulte Im Walde and Diego Frassinelli	214
<i>Diachronic change in verb usage statistics predicts differences in sentence processing across the lifespan</i>	
Ellis Cain and Rachel Ryskin	221
<i>How Useful is Context, Actually? Comparing LLMs and Humans on Discourse Marker Prediction</i>	
Emily Sadlier-Brown, Millie Lou, Miikka Silfverberg and Carla L. Hudson Kam	231
<i>LLMs' morphological analyses of complex FST-generated Finnish words</i>	
Anssi Moisio, Mathias Creutz and Mikko Kurimo	242
<i>An Eye Opener Regarding Task-Based Text Gradient Saliency</i>	
Guojun Wu, Lena Sophia Bolliger, David Robert Reich and Lena Ann Jäger	255
<i>Improving Language Models for Emotion Analysis: Insights from Cognitive Science</i>	
Constant Bonard and Gustave Cortal	264