

Natural Legal Language Processing Workshop (NLLP 2024)

Miami, Florida, USA
16 November 2024

ISBN: 979-8-3313-0849-0

Printed from e-media with permission by:

Curran Associates, Inc.
57 Morehouse Lane
Red Hook, NY 12571

Some format issues inherent in the e-media version may also appear in this print version.

Copyright© (2024) by the Association for Computational Linguistics
All rights reserved.

Printed with permission by Curran Associates, Inc. (2025)

For permission requests, please contact the Association for Computational Linguistics
at the address below.

Association for Computational Linguistics
209 N. Eighth Street
Stroudsburg, Pennsylvania 18360

Phone: 1-570-476-8006
Fax: 1-570-476-0860

acl@aclweb.org

Additional copies of this publication are available from:

Curran Associates, Inc.
57 Morehouse Lane
Red Hook, NY 12571 USA
Phone: 845-758-0400
Fax: 845-758-2633
Email: curran@proceedings.com
Web: www.proceedings.com

Table of Contents

<i>LeGen: Complex Information Extraction from Legal sentences using Generative Models</i> Chaitra C R, Sankalp Kulkarni, Sai Rama Akash Varma Sagi, Shashank Pandey, Rohit Yalavarthy, Dipanjan Chakraborty and Prajna Devi Upadhyay	1
<i>Summarizing Long Regulatory Documents with a Multi-Step Pipeline</i> Mika Sie, Ruby Beek, Michiel Bots, Sjaak Brinkkemper and Albert Gatt	18
<i>Enhancing Legal Expertise in Large Language Models through Composite Model Integration: The Development and Evaluation of Law-Neo</i> Zhihao Liu, Yanzhen Zhu and Mengyuan Lu	33
<i>uOttawa at LegalLens-2024: Transformer-based Classification Experiments</i> Nima Meghdadi and Diana Inkpen	42
<i>Quebec Automobile Insurance Question-Answering With Retrieval-Augmented Generation</i> David Beauchemin, Richard Khoury and Zachary Gagnon	48
<i>Rethinking Legal Judgement Prediction in a Realistic Scenario in the Era of Large Language Models</i> Shubham Kumar Nigam, Aniket Deroy, Subhankar Maity and Arnab Bhattacharya	61
<i>The CLC-UKET Dataset: Benchmarking Case Outcome Prediction for the UK Employment Tribunal</i> Huiyuan Xie, Felix Steffek, Joana De Faria, Christine Carter and Jonathan Rutherford	81
<i>Information Extraction for Planning Court Cases</i> Drish Mali, Rubash Mali and Claire Barale	97
<i>Automated Anonymization of Parole Hearing Transcripts</i> Abed Itani, Wassiliki Siskou and Annette Hautli-Janisz	115
<i>Towards an Automated Pointwise Evaluation Metric for Generated Long-Form Legal Summaries</i> Shao Min Tan, Quentin Grail and Lee Quartey	129
<i>Enhancing Contract Negotiations with LLM-Based Legal Document Comparison</i> Savinay Narendra, Kaushal Shetty and Adwait Ratnaparkhi	143
<i>Attributed Question Answering for Preconditions in the Dutch Law</i> Felicia Redelaar, Romy Van Drie, Suzan Verberne and Maaïke De Boer	154
<i>Algorithm for Automatic Legislative Text Consolidation</i> Matias Etcheverry, Thibaud Real-del-Sarte and Pauline Chavallard	166
<i>Measuring the Groundedness of Legal Question-Answering Systems</i> Dietrich Trautmann, Natalia Ostapuk, Quentin Grail, Adrian Pol, Guglielmo Bonifazi, Shang Gao and Martin Gajek	176
<i>Transductive Legal Judgment Prediction Combining BERT Embeddings with Delaunay-Based GNNs</i> Hugo Attali and Nadi Tomeh	187
<i>Cross Examine: An Ensemble-based approach to leverage Large Language Models for Legal Text Ana- lytics</i> Saurav Chowdhury, Lipika Dey and Suyog Joshi	194
<i>LLMs to the Rescue: Explaining DSA Statements of Reason with Platform's Terms of Services</i> Marco Aspromonte, Andrea Ferraris, Federico Galli and Giuseppe Contissa	205

<i>BLT: Can Large Language Models Handle Basic Legal Text?</i>	
Andrew Blair-Stanek, Nils Holzenberger and Benjamin Van Durme	216
<i>Multi-Property Multi-Label Documents Metadata Recommendation based on Encoder Embeddings</i>	
Nasredine Cheniki, Vidas Daudaravicius, Abdelfettah Feliachi, Didier Hardy and Marc Wilhelm Küster	233
<i>Comparative Study of Explainability Methods for Legal Outcome Prediction</i>	
Ieva Staliunaite, Josef Valvoda and Ken Satoh	243
<i>Bonafide at LegalLens 2024 Shared Task: Using Lightweight DeBERTa Based Encoder For Legal Violation Detection and Resolution</i>	
Shikha Bordia	259
<i>LAR-ECHR: A New Legal Argument Reasoning Task and Dataset for Cases of the European Court of Human Rights</i>	
Odysseas Chlapanis, Dimitris Galanis and Ion Androutsopoulos	267
<i>Gaps or Hallucinations? Scrutinizing Machine-Generated Legal Analysis for Fine-grained Text Evaluations</i>	
Abe Hou, William Jurayj, Nils Holzenberger, Andrew Blair-Stanek and Benjamin Van Durme	280
<i>Classify First, and Then Extract: Prompt Chaining Technique for Information Extraction</i>	
Alice Kwak, Clayton Morrison, Derek Bambauer and Mihai Surdeanu	303
<i>Augmenting Legal Decision Support Systems with LLM-based NLI for Analyzing Social Media Evidence</i>	
Ram Mohan Rao Kadiyala, Siddhartha Pullakhandam, Kanwal Mehreen, Subhasya Tippareddy and Ashay Srivastava	318
<i>Empowering Air Travelers: A Chatbot for Canadian Air Passenger Rights</i>	
Maksym Taranukhin, Sahithya Ravi, Gabor Lukacs, Evangelos Milios and Vered Shwartz ...	326
<i>Enhancing Legal Violation Identification with LLMs and Deep Learning Techniques: Achievements in the LegalLens 2024 Competition</i>	
Nguyen Tan Minh, Duy Ngoc Mai, Le Xuan Bach, Nguyen Huu Dung, Pham Cong Minh, Ha Thanh Nguyen and Thi Hai Yen Vuong	336
<i>LegalLens 2024 Shared Task: Masala-chai Submission</i>	
Khalid Rajan and Royal Sequiera	346
<i>Semantists at LegalLens-2024: Data-efficient Training of LLM's for Legal Violation Identification</i>	
Kanagasabai Rajaraman and Hariram Veeramani	355
<i>LegalLens Shared Task 2024: Legal Violation Identification in Unstructured Text</i>	
Ben Hagag, Gil Gil Semo, Dor Bernsohn, Liav Harpaz, Pashootan Vaezipoor, Rohit Saha, Kyril Truskovskiy and Gerasimos Spanakis	361
<i>DeBERTa Beats Behemoths: A Comparative Analysis of Fine-Tuning, Prompting, and PEFT Approaches on LegalLensNER</i>	
Hanh Thi Hong Tran, Nishan Chatterjee, Senja Pollak and Antoine Doucet	371
<i>LexSumm and LexT5: Benchmarking and Modeling Legal Summarization Tasks in English</i>	
Santosh T.Y.S.S, Cornelius Weiss and Matthias Grabmair	381
<i>Towards Supporting Legal Argumentation with NLP: Is More Data Really All You Need?</i>	
Santosh T.Y.S.S, Kevin Ashley, Katie Atkinson and Matthias Grabmair	404